Honeywell, Inc. sent its placement recruiters to MIT this past Monday and Tuesday, and they were met here by pickets and demonstrations. In the lobby of Building E 19. The pickets were sponsored by the Clergy and Laity Concerned, and protested Honeywell's involvement in weapons research, and particularly anti-personnel weapons allegedly used in Vietnam.

By Jon Hartshorne
(The is the second of two articles on the MIT-Wellesley Exchange. -Editor)

Throughout the first five years of the MIT-Wellesley Exchange, most of the higher-level policy decisions generated by the cross-regulation program between the two schools has been handled by the Joint Committee on the MIT-Wellesley Exchange, which in a recently-released report recommended the continuation of the program. However, the actual administrative work created by the exchange has been the domain of Jane Sauer, the MIT coordinator of the exchange, and her counterpart at Wellesley, Helen Falkson.

Both coordinators have surveyed their respective student bodies and have found that the exchange enjoys a high degree of approval on both campuses. However, there is a number of problems generated by the exchange which have surfaced both in the Joint Committee's report and in the surveys.

License sought for campus beer sales

By Ken Davis

MIT is currently exploring the possibility of obtaining a liquor license for the Student Center.

Arrangements are being made by the Dining Service, Student Center Committee, and Dean's Office to sell beer on tap at the Center, probably in 20 Chimneys. The liquor license would be in the name of MIT, although one person will be named as responsible for administering the sales. The beer itself would be obtained and sold by the Dining Service.

"Our first task is to see what can be set up in 20 Chimneys," said Jon Hartshorne, Assistant Dean for Student Affairs. He stated that having beer in the Student Center has the potential of bringing more people into the building. "I think the biggest problem will be what do we do to the Student Center," he said.

Since 20 Chimneys is primarily an eating place, the Student Center Committee is looking into areas where people might be able to sit and drink is a more pub-like atmosphere. The space outside 20 Chimneys is being considered, according to SCC chairmen Steve Wallin.

Tentative plans are being made to sell draft beer on tap in the area immediately to the right of 20 Chimneys' door. Opinion is being gathered about what type of beer to buy. Prices, it is hoped, will be attractive to the student, under 35 cents for a twelve-ounce glass. Operations may be started as early as this spring.

Although definite arrangements have not yet been made, beer drinking will probably be restricted to certain areas of the building. Students will be discouraged from bringing their beer to the library, for example. MIT currently holds two beer licenses in building 7 and office E 19. The college at Wellesley, building E 19. The college at Wellesley, building E 19.

By Wendy Poles

The issue of "peremptory male guests" was the major topic of discussion at a packed meeting at McCormick on Tuesday. The publication which has been debated often in the past, concerning the right of an officially all-women dorm without paying guests or being assigned to the dorm.

The issue came to a head recently when the head government distributed copies of letters between House President Anita Horton and Dean for Student Affairs Carol Einsen (the Ad Ad West conveniently published in The Tech, March 3). Einsen and Horton have come to her way of students who did not want to take the matter to the house government.

A student at Einsen, many residents were upset about their situation and embar- rassed to complain to their fel- low residents. In some cases, women wanted to move from their suite or floor because they objected to sharing their facilities with men.

A student at Einsen that many young girls are not ready by their freshmen year to enter a living situation with men. Others were allowed to move to MIT be- cause of the fact that they were an all-women's dorm. On one pos- sibility, and accused them of getting women students under "false pretenses" and retaining a "non-existent" all-women's dorm. Einsen said, in an interview that students are having any sort of problems with habitual male guests should feel free to express their feelings. They should not wonder why they were being treated in a "cool"

Eisen said that "The girls don't want to feel too 'puritanical;" or, "they don't want to use their opinions. It's a shame that people can't express them- selves. She would also like everyone to know that this problem is not unique to McCormick. After hearing enough com- plaints about the current situation, the student at the outgoing McCormick Hall receiv- ed last spring, at a meeting and asked to meet with the student at the dormitory. She was later promised that a committee would be appointed to examine the situation, and too embar- rassed to complain to their fel- low residents. In some cases, women wanted to move from their suite or floor because they objected to sharing their facilities with men.

Perhaps the most serious problem which the exchange has encountered is the demand made by the Women Students at Wellesley for the right to be evaluated with regard to the potential of students at MIT. According to Sauer, the fear expressed by many MIT students that the exchange would detract from MIT's recruitment of female students.

In the report it issued last year, the Joint Committee stated that "MIT has a respon- sibility for the education of women in the scientific and tech- nology." The report argues that "many persons feel the exchange is primarily for the social benefit of MIT men and Wellesley women." The "social component of the exchange program must be made explicit and handled in an honest, open manner. Yet another woman studying at MIT be not be considered seriously as a student of the exchange program. However, the Joint Committee's report and in the surveys.

According to the surveys taken at both schools, a majority of the students do not feel the primary value of the exchange is the social one. The survey given last spring to all MIT undergraduates shows that most MIT students who viewed the exchange as being advantageous to the academic, social and general environment of the institutions. Only 8.8% of those responding to the survey felt the exchange was extraneous to the nature of those institutions. Among exchange participants, this figure was 2.5%.

Falkson sympathized with some of the complaints made by the MIT coed group. "I think they're right," she commented. "I think MIT did use Wellesley as a back door to coedity."

"To put, or not to put, that is the question."