Tuition at the Institute has long been competitive with tuition at similar private universities. At some points, MIT even charged more tuition than Harvard, but that has not been the case in some time. What could the Institute do to hold tuition down? There are some possibilities but none of them are very pleasant.

Financial aid

Financial need is not a factor in the admissions process at MIT. The students entire record, scholastic and personal, is reviewed by the Admissions Office. Within the limits set by the housing council, every qualified applicant is offered admission (the qualified fee for whom there is no room see the waiting list). It is only after the admissions process is completed that the Financial Aid Office has a chance to assess the total need of a class.

Ned, according to Gray, does not seem to have any great or unusual annual fluctuations. It has been growing exponentially at an annual rate of about 5-6% over the last decade. Interestingly enough, the graph was displaced horizontally by about $1 million when the certification of a copy of the federal income tax form was instituted. Over the last two years, the total need has been about $2 million less than anticipated.

Fluctuations in total undergraduate need do not significantly affect the tuition in any case. According to Gray, MIT sets tuition and financial aid as two entirely separate budgetary entities; there is no overlap or transfer of funds between the two, so a rise in need would not cause a rise in tuition.

It could, however, cause an effective rise for the 2000 needy students, by pushing their cases up the equity level. The equity level is the self-help of job-loan (Please turn on page 10)

Commentary

The Hellenic Students Association of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology revealed the following statement in a Norrgrama announce on February 2, 1973 The Hellenic Students association of MIT was formed with great hope to explore the latest developments in the student movement in Greece. Being an integral part of the Community of all Greek students, we express our indignation to the attacks taken against the University students in Greece, and to our solidarity with our protesting colleagues.

Specifically, we ask that their just demands be satisfied:

1. Participation of University students in the making of the new Charter of Institutions of Higher Education, and in decisions regarding the broader planning of education.
2. Guarantee for the inviolability of University Sanctuary, and respect for academic freedom.

The Tech will continue to publish Letters to the Tech as they are received.

Letters to the Editor

To the Editor:

I hope to clear up some vagueness and correct some inaccuracies in James Treleaven's Moody's article on dining options, and particularly, the recent meeting held by the Admissions Office on the subject.

Firstly, Mr. Moody briefly explained the club plan, "which would replace the present dining hall fees," he did not mention that this plan would in all certainty cost more than the present dining hall fees. He also did not make it clear that under the committee's plan, the members of the house would have to choose one of the dining options; i.e., they could not simply decline to take part in the plan. This was one of the main objections of those at the meeting, that people would be paying a great deal of money to support a dining hall they virtually never used.

At the meeting in question, there were 19 present who were on comitess. It is true that 10 were in favor of some sort of 7 day plan; however, only 6 were in favor of the specific plan of Reale Review Committee. This was also true of those present at the meeting. 53, against it. We also voted on whether to hold all future classes participate in it. Only 4 were in favor of such a vote.

In addition, at Baker's general election, a survey was taken in which the results voted 169 against any sort of 7 day plan, and 186 to 22 separate dining club plans, with a fairly hefty survey, but I feel the results are quite conclusive nonetheless.

I feel that Mr. Moody was regretfully biased in her article, I hope that his letter will clear up any misunderstandings that may have arisen concerning the true feelings of the members of Baker House regarding the proposed dining options.

A. Todd Moore

Baker House Secretary

(Two points: the decision in Baker took place after Mr. Moody's article appeared, and Mr. Moody has chosen to ignore the news of the decision which is to be done to the Baker physical plant by the current cooking situation. -- Editor)
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Letters are run at the discretion of the Editor-in-Chief; the decision is based on letters of broad community interest and/or introduction of new and interesting information. Letters must be signed, although signatures may be withheld on request. Letters that are typewritten will be given preference. Preference will be given to those space available. Preference will be given to letters on space available. Preference will be given to letters that are typewritten. Longer opinions should be submitted to Commentary.
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