The report was disclosed by Rep. William Moorehead (D-Pa.), Chairman of the Foreign Operations and Government Information Subcommittee of the House Committee on Government Operations. He termed the Bill "an attempt to put into the lives of virtually every American in a letter to President Nixon. Moorehead is scheduling hearings on the matter for May 17, after telling to testify before the subcommittee, said the subcommittee staff member, the hearings will take place sometime in February or March.

According to the report, portions of the plan could be put into effect by "well paid freelance translators. It was also noted that the recent passage of the System for Implementing Cooperative Research Act (SICRA), which is a measure to stimulate research and development in the field of foreign languages, was another example of the growing interest in the area. However, some observers believe that the focus on foreign languages may be a "misdirected interest" on the part of the government, as they believe that the real need is for fluency in other languages, particularly those of the Middle East and Asia.

The report was also critical of the "National Science Foundation," stating that its focus on foreign languages is a "misdirected interest" and that the real need is for fluency in other languages, particularly those of the Middle East and Asia.

Another piece of legislation that was mentioned was the "National Science Policy and Priorities Act," which would strengthen the NSF by appropriating another $1 billion for NSF projects, in the hope that eventually the NSF would be able to handle that large sum, due to staff and other administrative short-cuts. However, it was noted that the "NSF can use more money for creative programs," adding that it would help the NSFs get away from dependence upon the Defense Department for research funds.

The final point of the report was that the "SEC should be able to handle that large sum, due to staff and other administrative short-cuts. However, it was noted that the "SEC would be able to handle that large sum, due to staff and other administrative short-cuts. However, it was noted that the "SEC can use more money for creative programs," adding that it would help the NSFs get away from dependence upon the Defense Department for research funds.
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