**Chess**

By Daniel Kenkel

In the first few chess columns I will endeavor to report in some detail the week-to-week progress between time, space, and material, city and country, and to offer a speculation as to how this process may be affected by events that are not fully under our control. The purpose here will be to make, more or less clearly, the basic assumptions that are in play, and to examine in some more detailed fashion their implications for the nature of the game as we now understand it.

**NOTES**

* The deadline for the next chess column is Wednesday at 5 pm (Eastern Standard time) and will be posted by Thursday (same time) on the Faculty Bulletin Board in 2-205.

* Students are welcome to use the time period from the start of the next column to the posting of the current one to prepare their comments. New students and more experienced players are especially encouraged to participate.

* The Association Student Women Student Center has arranged a special exhibition of chess pieces, opening in October. Members will be notified of the exact date.

* Roger Wiesner cut off discussion. (For the first time, we have been able to arrange a meeting at the same time as the CEP meeting, and this was the outcome.)

* A new magazine is being formed, the first issue to appear early next term. It will contain literary works, (fiction, poetry, criticism), non-fiction works regarding the subject, educational works, and reviews. Come to a meeting tonight (Tuesday) at 7:30 in the Activities Center if you are interested in working on the first issue. If you cannot make this meeting, call Larry or Steve at 492-5672.

Eden Geller has been one of the ten best chess players in the world for approximately two decades. Former Soviet champion and present world championship contender, Geller most recently occupied the spotlight as Frenchman of the CEP. Peter Eagleson, who had chaired the committee that designed the graduate degree program, noted that many students are already putting together a similar graduate program on their own. Eagleson defended the need for such a program by stating that "visiblyness" is needed for MIT's work in this respect. Indeed, most of the players who might not take the initiation of such a program to be a cause for concern, and for others who cannot currently see how their work relates to environmental degree at MIT.

The actual drafting of the degree program was apparently a laborious process; Eagleson pointed out that. . . The program was indeed handled out to the faculty, that, this was this was the "seventh draft" of the proposal. He summed up by noting that the new program "meets the objectives of this program."

CEP report

The CEP report on Educational Policy (CEP) then met its regular group is the faculty committee, but, and is normally chaired by Harley Rogers, chairman of the Faculty. For purposes of discussing and presenting this "statement by the CEP on a Committee on Discipline Matter," Rogers ex- cused himself due to personal involvement in the controversy. Roy Kaprow, associate professor of Metallurgy chaired the group for discussions and delivered the report to the faculty.

Besides the reasons listed in the introduction to the statement (printed in full on page 5), the statement was also prompted by a number of inquiries ad- dressed to Wiener and Rogers by faculty members, Kaprow said.

The statement covers three basic questions: should the "opinion document" have been disseminated to the students involved? Should it have been given to the student press? Are current Dis- cipline Committee procedures appropriate?

On the first question, the CEP decided that, in spite of substantial confusion surround- ing the...