The nightsticks moved their way through the crowd. Some of the demonstrators turned their sticks beneath the horses and hurled rocks at the police. During that time, a Boston police photographer was struck by a bottle and a Brookline police officer was injured when hit by a brick. There were no estimates of other injuries, though the police were reported liberal in the use of the police tear gas and, in some cases, nightsticks.

As this was occurring outside the Armory, the sounds heard were not those of the protest anti-war chants, but those of Lionel Hampton and his orchestra as they entertained the present program as having "a fragmented appearance." The Lab will allow MIT researchers looking for funding to point to something more concrete and professionally oriented than departmental work.

However, Mason repeatedly stressed that the Lab has not been actually created. "The Lab is still very much in its formative stages," he said. Right now, the organizers are only using the idea to stir interest among sponsors, faculty, and students.

As there are no plans to give the Lab its own facilities until it is well underway, it will consist entirely of projects arranged under its auspices during its formative period. Mason admitted (Please turn to page 3) to the faculty at the meeting of October 18 by Professor Edward A. Mason, Head of the Department of Nuclear Engineering. In an interview with The Tech, Mason indicated that the Lab does not yet exist as a distinct entity. However, MIT groups have already talked to industry and governmental agencies about such a Lab, in hopes of demonstrating a more coordinated attack on energy-related problems.

At the Institute there is now about five million dollars worth of energy-related research underway. This has been acquired due to the efforts of individual faculty or groups and includes such projects as Alcator, the ARCO, the records of the Discipline Committee and the Dean for Student Affairs Office. This record will be taken into account should these students appear again before the Discipline Committee. The distinction was made between this informal sanction, and admonishment, which is the least of the formal sanctions the committee is empowered to impose.

The letter informing these defendants of the disposition of their cases differs in one detail from the letter sent to the students who were found guilty with no punishment last spring, in that last spring's letter did not inform the defendants explicitly that a record of the case would be kept by the committee, and be restricted to the issue of presence, and no testimony relating to other matters will be permitted.

The committee is issuing no other response to the defendants' letter other than to schedule the hearings. Since the defendants are currently boycotting the hearings, it is unlikely that some of the defendants will not appear for their hearings. In that event, the Discipline Committee is empowered, under Paragraph 3 of the Judicial Procedure, to hear the cases anyway. Should this occur, if the administration proves the presence of the defendants, they will receive the same informal sanctions as the other "first offenders."