Continuity and synthesis for MIT

(continued from page 4)

have asked the Institute to weather the strife of the last few years might be moral strength growing out of the development of a community of interest here that would be broader than it was before. But here again, the production of health and strength seems premature — this observer doubts whether there has yet been any consolidation or assimilation of the ideals learned, whether the years of argument have yet resulted in a new social consensus. At this point, MIT still seems torn within itself, although certainly not without hope. The Institute may indeed be one day healthier for having to fight for it as a unique institution, but that day does not yet seem to be here.

A new University

On the face of it, the report's outline of these three major challenges before the Institute are neither new nor surprising — they represent elements present in the educational policy in the light of certain facts. This period served both as an opportunity which we afford those who wish to participate in major aspects of society. In fact, this statement represents nothing new; there has been an increasing willingness of the leaders, at least, and MIT in particular, in the affairs of the Institute, to practice both formally, in a public and informally, through its faculty and students, MIT has played a role in the civic life of Cambridge.

A second major challenge, Wiener and Grey write, "is the need to relate the university to the local and national community..." In making this statement, they abandon a traditional concept, the separation of the university from society, in favor of acknowledging the reality of the social situation, that the university is a key participant in major aspects of society. In this context, the report recognizes that there has been an increasing willingness of the leaders, at least, and MIT in particular, in the affairs of the Institute, to practice both formally, in a public and informally, through its faculty and students.
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