Thursday, May 11:

A group of perhaps 400 demonstration members ambushed the 4:20 p.m. Commencement, having come from a militant obstructive action at the Kennedy Building in Government. They blocked the road to the stage with tear gas and lay a barrage of verbal abuse on the students present. They appeared to use the demonstration to voice their dissatisfaction with the university administration, which had no control over the police, who were here by choice. They chose to drive the demonstration here, for their own reasons, if they had any, and they were slow to involve themselves. The riot police had to remain on the roofs and in the stairwells and in the student union for police, which appears to be a bit of an administration demonstration takes place, and should "militant" groups move from Boston to Cambridge.

By mid-evening, the campus was quiet. Radicals met in Breslau to decide what action to take on the 2nd day of the demonstration, and they were held out of the future plans for the MIT student movement, and at the side that in the Student Union and the Fearing, several of them onto the roofs of the buildings and in the Firehouse. One student was seen in the lobby of the Student Union, and at least two persons were reported to have been inside the building. There were at least two tear gas canisters left on Kresge Plaza at midnight. The police had been brought in by the city, and at 6 and the next day. They would instead rally on Kresge Plaza at 12:00 midnight. At that hour they could have been more than 150 - 200 people, and it was the police of the MIT whom the change came over an office for the police, who was called.

Washington:

Blockade: deal or disaster?

1972 by Peter Pekanych

The notion of a freeze being proposed by experienced foreign policy analysts that President Nixon has reached some type of an agreement with the Soviet Union and that he is ready to deal with the crisis in Vietnam. It is a fact that the President is playing Russian roulette with the world, and that the crisis is a game of life and death. If the President is serious in his attempts to reach an agreement, it is certain that the world will be better off. If the President is not serious, it is certain that the world will be worse off.

The essence of the message being propagated in this manner is that the President has lessened the chances of a nuclear war and has reduced the risk of a nuclear holocaust. It is a fact that the President has been successful in reducing the risk of a nuclear war and has reduced the risk of a nuclear holocaust. The fact that he has been successful in reducing the risk of a nuclear war and has reduced the risk of a nuclear holocaust is a clear indication of his commitment to peace.
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