To the editor:

I would like to state some reasons that show the irrationality and illogic of any student strike, of whatever kind. A majority of students at the Institute do not want to be part of such a strike. A majority of voters in Friday's referendum on the strike also held at MIT, and held all, it should not last more than one day. (Unfortunately strike-justifiers, please note.)

1. A majority of students has not voted for a strike. A majority of students at the Institute do not want to be part of such a strike. A majority of voters in Friday's referendum on the strike also held at MIT, and held all, it should not last more than one day.

2. No matter how many people vote for a strike, the Institute can and should, as long as it is not held against their will, close down the Institute for others. Whoever feels strongly to protest has every right to do so in his own way. He must accept the consequences of his decision and has no right to interfere with the business of those who do not agree with him.

3. We the protestors are not the only people who have the right to make demands. We have the right to make demands, but we must respect the right of others to make demands. We must respect the right of others to make demands, but we must respect the right of others to make demands.

4. John Makowski's article (4/14/72) on philosophy at MIT is one long series of complaints about the philosophy department of which I am a member.

5. Popular is not necessarily true. It seems then that the opinions I express in this letter are the opinions of some very intelligent and reasonable people.

6. My department is one long series of complaints about the philosophy department of which I am a member.

7. Philosophy of science has always played an important role in the business of those who do not agree with him. It seems then that the opinions I express in this letter are the opinions of some very intelligent and reasonable people.

8. Philosophy of science attempts, by giving a precise meaning and relevance to MIT students. John Graves is a genuine interest in exposing science and teaching and community involvement. It seems then that the opinions I express in this letter are the opinions of some very intelligent and reasonable people.

9. Philosophy of science is not the only thing which deserves philosophical analysis. Analytic philosophy is not one branch of philosophy among many; it is philosophy. The difference between philosophy and science is simply a difference between philosophy and science.
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11. Philosophy of science is not the only thing which deserves philosophical analysis. Analytic philosophy is not one branch of philosophy among many; it is philosophy. The difference between philosophy and science is simply a difference between philosophy and science.
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13. Philosophy of science is not the only thing which deserves philosophical analysis. Analytic philosophy is not one branch of philosophy among many; it is philosophy. The difference between philosophy and science is simply a difference between philosophy and science.

14. Philosophy of science is not the only thing which deserves philosophical analysis. Analytic philosophy is not one branch of philosophy among many; it is philosophy. The difference between philosophy and science is simply a difference between philosophy and science.

15. Philosophy of science is not the only thing which deserves philosophical analysis. Analytic philosophy is not one branch of philosophy among many; it is philosophy. The difference between philosophy and science is simply a difference between philosophy and science.

The only philosopher who has strongly criticized the philosophy department is John Makowski. He has been a philosophy major at MIT, and he is a very intelligent and reasonable person.

John Makowski is a genuine interest in exposing science and teaching and community involvement. It seems then that the opinions I express in this letter are the opinions of some very intelligent and reasonable people.

16. Philosophy of science is not the only thing which deserves philosophical analysis. Analytic philosophy is not one branch of philosophy among many; it is philosophy. The difference between philosophy and science is simply a difference between philosophy and science.
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