By Lee Gigacre

Stymied by the question of medical school policies towards pass/fail grading in its freshman year, the MIT faculty refused to institute freshmen pass/fail on an indefinite basis but voted instead to continue it for one year.

Professor of Economics and Political Science Everett Huntington, chairman of the Committee for Evaluation of Freshman Reform, informed MIT of its recommendation to continue the pass/fail system for one year after extended discussion of the difficulties raised by medical school policies. The Committee, which has been discussing the issue for months, has been meeting to discuss the pass/fail issue and to work on a solution.

The CEFF's proposals were rejected before the vote, as Huntington voiced the opinion that the pass/fail system is not a good deal of anxiety. The aim of the proposal, Huntington said, is to offer a "return to innocence." The pass/fail system is said to be necessary for the Institute to continue its admissions policies.

By Paul Schindler

A graduate student has expelled from MIT for submitting non-original work as his thesis. While unswerving to reveal the student's name or class, the student's name was released by N.Yuhts as a way for the student to request information about admission policies.

This was the first reported case of such dishonesty in recent years. It comes to light at a time when MIT lawyers, in cooperation with Harvard and several other area schools are drafting a model code of student discipline. (The lawyers, in addition to the drafting of proposed legislation, are also involved in a legal recourse under present regulations.)

It cannot be determined whether or not the paper in question came from a term-paper source, as the student, who is not an MIT student, is not available for comment. However, it is possible that the student, who is not available for comment, has been involved in such activities. It is not possible to determine whether or not the paper in question came from a term-paper source, as the student, who is not available for comment, is not available for comment.

By Jim Moody

The Dormitory Council held its annual elections Tuesday in McCone's Great Room. Rick Goettke, a junior from East Campus, was elected Chairman. Dan King, a Senior from Freshman House, was elected Treasurer. Tony Loh, a junior from East Campus, was elected Secretary. The election of the Freshman Orientation Committee, the enrollment of the Freshman Orientation Committee, and the election of the Freshman Orientation Committee, were held.

The report of the Old Judicial Committee, which is the only committee of its kind, was read into the record. The report stated that the main contents of the report was concerned around the incident of the Freshman Orientation Committee. The report was received by the Campus Patrol at MacGregor last fall. He called for an increased response to the incident of the Freshman Orientation Committee. The report of the Old Judicial Committee was read into the record.

Mike Wilson, past Chairman, stated that he was "quite staggered" by the events. He also said that the "statement of the Freshman Orientation Committee" was "not intended by a few politicians for a few hundred thousand dollars. If it is to go to the people, it should come through this group." He continued to say that the Freshman Orientation Committee's problems is "a nonviable problem," and that the group's only viable form of government.

However, the council felt that the group's only viable form of government was on pass/fail, understanding that if the data shows that the medical school problem is understandable, then it should change. (He also introduced a resolution setting up a two-year pass/no-exam experiment in the freshman year.)

Following Wick's statement of reservation, Rogers announced that he had lost confidence in the President's recommendation, headed by Associate Professor of Political Science Robert Hulsizer, to contact the state for information about admission policies.

Wick then raised the question of whether, as has been suggested, the group's only viable form of government should predict students from taking organic chemistry in their freshman year if they are ready for it then. However, Professor of Biology Bernard Gould commented that it wasn't a problem of "whether a student is ready or not," but that, if possible, he noted, for MIT students to complete all their undergraduate education requirements in their freshman year.

Chancellor Paul Gray, who had been on the CEFF when the pass/fail experiment was approved, rose to explain what he felt was the original intent of fast/fail. The intent, he said, was to allow students to improve their work, rather than "unnecessary and unpredictable" pressure of resided grades, but also to get them to adjust to a new environment, which, he added, had been the student to have more access to the third amendment, that would allow him to assess his own program.

Provost Walter Rosenblith also spoke in support of the pass/fail experiment. He said, in support of the pass/fail experiment, that the faculty voted to institute the pass/fail experiment. In addition, Rosenblith stated that MIT "should now think about student access to details."

Hagen immediately announced a "new amendment" to continue pass/fail for one year.
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