Wilson defends Labour
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a 1967 to opposing current moves in that direction by the present government.
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In my school days, why calculations of the damage entry fee is, and the annual terms were rather inherited from our predecessor Inhibition of growth. A s it may have been said, in terms of the annual subscription. In my school days right terms, is the prospects it

lion pounds, the largest-ever the terms were

rather rather than inherit the

paid by amputation of the means of payments deficit. My Govern-

ment will not have joined: It some have argued over 1,000 s cription was about a dollar- ' expansion the terms become pounds a year by 1978 — and cinder-track. The annual sub-

scription. In my school days right terms, is the prospects it

terminates from our predecessor provided almost a perma-

nent inhibition on growth — or at least the even harsh economic policies we had to allow to transform minus 800 million pounds into plus 600 million pounds, the largest-ever figures in our history. That is what the damage to our balance of payments of our exports from 500 million pounds to 700-800 million pounds a year by 1978 — and one has argued over 1,000 million pounds by the eighties — so as to be able to pay interest on our foreign debt.

I have referred to the interaction between economic growth and balance of payments situations upon it. This was a
terminates from our predecessor provided almost a perma-

nent inhibition on growth — or at least the even harsh economic policies we had to allow to transform minus 800 million pounds into plus 600 million pounds, the largest-ever figures in our history. That is what the damage to our balance of payments of our exports from 500 million pounds to 700-800 million pounds a year by 1978 — and one has argued over 1,000 million pounds by the eighties — so as to be able to pay interest on our foreign debt.

I have referred to the interaction between economic growth and balance of payments situations upon it. This was a
terminates from our predecessor provided almost a perma-

nent inhibition on growth — or at least the even harsh economic policies we had to allow to transform minus 800 million pounds into plus 600 million pounds, the largest-ever figures in our history. That is what the damage to our balance of payments of our exports from 500 million pounds to 700-800 million pounds a year by 1978 — and one has argued over 1,000 million pounds by the eighties — so as to be able to pay interest on our foreign debt.

The Tech correspondent Peter Packarsky.

I am asked why do I object to these terms to application for entry. I applied for entry — if involved severance of the means of trade. —might have been said to inhibit. 

After his speech, former Prime Minister Harold Wilson is questioned by The Tech correspondent Peter Packarsky.

"I am asked why do I object to these terms to application for entry. I applied for entry — if involved severance of the means of trade. —might have been said to inhibit. 

After his speech, former Prime Minister Harold Wilson is questioned by The Tech correspondent Peter Packarsky.

the fundamental athletic pur-

pose of application, in that it involved awareness of the means of locomotion. One argument — and it has validity — for joining the Common Market, on the right terms, is the prospects it holds out for economic expansion. But if growth is inhibited by amputation of the means of expansion the terms become significantly less attractive."
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