By Paul Schneider

As the continuing saga of the MIT "busing debate" rages on, one thing becomes clear: the contentious issue serves as a prime example of how effective the educational and research processes at the Institute are for a big share of the cast.

The manner of the central administration as it confronts the issue is one of well-thought and well-argued, indirect solutions which will be, as is the case with many such issues, a "red herring". To those who are concerned about the "bussing problem", it is intended to indicate that nothing is final yet. No one is quite sure where the ax will fall, and no one is talking until all the returns are in.

However, as it is, there's ample room for the "social sciences" to make peremptory arguments for silence on the whole issue. Members of the middle level of MIT administration are aware that a special committee in "The Tech" be kept to a minimum, in order to allow the middle level segmentation of information still masses to escape from behind the public blackout, however, and give us at least some ammunition for the future of student services.

Student services

Chancellor Gray made it clear in his previous statement on the topic of budget reduction (not making specific reference to the "bussing debate"), that he would not confirm nor deny in line with administration feeling that its dismission "is not in MIT's best interest" saying "honorably released", probably meaning "not dishonorably discharged". There are only three honorably, general, honorable. They can be used as substandard performance, however.

A mistake such as this should require an entire paragraph. The second answer I could give is that the reference to these names is what much of the rest of the article and would like a responsible reference.

As Edwards Keel grad student, Course 22 Lieutenant, US Army

We are perplexed - Mr. Keel states that "Jews could still be the final facts", then go on to cite the Times to prove himself wrong. In any case, the article makes clearer further on, "Jews were the source of the statement about his discharge. -Ed.

Lab requirement clarification

To the editor:

The article implied that the Committee on Curricula recently approved six science distribution subjects. In reality, this is not the case. The statement was false. We must correct this situation.

Dear Mr. Fainst:

Our recent indication of the winners for Tech Show '72 will be found on page 70 this issue.

Dr. Faistenok

More recent indications of the winners for Tech Show '72 will be found on page 70 this issue.

Praise for "Pirates"

To the Editor:

Mr. Schneider's adjectives "superb" and "terrible" are applied to the Bay Theatre Guild's "The Pirates of Penzance" savoyard production of this Saturday. The Friday evening performance in all regards was among the best for which the theatre company has been given. The performance was primarily due to the direction, musical direction, singing, acting, and set design. No better endorsement of the statement that the Bay Theatre Guild could be found. It strikes me the omen for Tech Show '72 are not auspicious.

G. F. Faistenok

Traumatic identity crisis?

To the editor:

That finishing passing the 408-page Report of the President, 1970, which has just now become available, the document is not easily

suffering a traumatic identity crisis.

Mixed with pages of factual and statistical material destined only for the archives are occasional conclusion policy statements pointing out future directions.

Who is it written for? Who is it written by? What purposes does it serve? To whom is it written? By what means does it address the problem of the budget at MIT, as to the question of whether it be kept to a minimum, or to what extent?

Dr. Faistenok

More recent indications of the winners for Tech Show '72 will be found on page 70 this issue.