No consensus on Philosophy

By Alex Makowski

An open meeting Wednesday to discuss a proposed philosophy major drew a hotly contested session.

Most opponents of the plan argued the desirability of new programs that might hamper efforts to improve interdisciplinary cooperation.

Both Robert Bishop, Dean of the School of Human Sciences and Social Sciences, and Richard Louis Smullen, chairman of the Department of Humanities, argued that the proposed separate humanities and social sciences degree program would best serve the student body.

Seniors among the faculty were on either side of the issue. One Ed Glickson, Civil Engineering head, who was present at the meeting, said he didn't understand why the faculty wanted to set up an autonomous humanities program.

Louis Smullen, chairman of the Electrical Engineering (EE) department, pointed out that splitting humanities and social sciences would not only be harmful to the humanities but also to the students.

Bishop fervently believed the current system is the best way to organize the curriculum.

Students encouraged to vote in Cambridge

By Bruce Peets

Over the past week, a small group of Cambridge residents has been having a booth in the lobby of building 10 in an attempt to help MIT students register for the Cambridge elections in November.

Sam Schei, who has worked in the Cambridge City Planning Department, said his group was formed out of a mutual dislike for the Washington government.

"What we have is a free nation, an independent nation," he said, "and we should be able to vote where we want to vote, according to our own desires." He added that if people who vote in Cambridge do not vote in Washington, they are not fulfilling their rights as citizens.

Recent group activity at MIT joined 14 others in the Cambridge elections, and the group has already been accepted by the Cambridge City Planning Department.

Across the country, similar groups are being formed, and the group plans to continue its work.

By curtis reeves

About thirty-five people attended Tuesday night's meeting of the General Assembly to hear several committee reports and to vote on "the biggest issue this term," the Polaroid boycott. The U.A.V.P., John Krywicky, chaired the meeting and cast the tie-breaking vote that defeated the motion to support the boycott and ask the Coop to discontinue the sale of Polaroid products.

The final vote was 13 in favor of the motion, 14 opposed and three abstentions.

The motion, which was introduced by Richard Haas, '73 of S.A.E., found support among those who agreed with the idea that if, because of economic pressure or for some other reason, Polaroid ceased all dealings with the Union of South Africa, a third of their students would follow that example and, by threatening to rain the country's economy, forces an end to the government's apartheid prac-

Students should vote in Cambridge

By Alex Makowski

As a result of the recent revolutionary events in the United States, MIT students are being encouraged to register to vote.

The reason why only the Institute of Technology has had a systematic enforcement of the voting law is that the government has been using its own laws to override faculty opposition.

Anyone registered now is still subject to have their vote impounded. The city may impound a vote and then lock it in a safe, if the legality of the city's action has not been cleared up by the following election, the vote is destroyed. Although Cambridge has not done this in the past, Boston has, and with the unevenness of the city's voting laws, Cambridge may decide to follow the same route.

Recently, the group working at MIT joined 14 others in the Cambridge City Planning Department, and the group has already been accepted by the Cambridge City Planning Department.

"It reaches the point where we are fighting for the right to vote," said Peets. "And I think getting the vote is the most important thing we can do.

In Cambridge, it appears, the Common-wealth of Massachusetts requires that any person meeting the age, self-support, and voter registration requirements can have one vote, no matter what his political affiliation.

In addition to the MIT booth, the coalition on CJAC and the educational council has been using its own laws to override faculty opposition. The group plans to continue its work.
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Faculty chairman Ted Martin moderated Wednesday's discussion of the proposal for a separate philosophy department. Dain Robert Bishop (faceting the camera) argued for the measure.
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