**Education at MIT**

By Alex Makowski

"The hypocrisy of a winner of Class of 1972, the head of a college of electronics in Oregon, the head of a college of social sciences at a university, and the head of a college of education at MIT. The Institute does have a good reputation for teaching, but there is little input on tenurial decisions. Many department heads are reluctant to reveal whether students are up for tenure consideration in any given year, often because of causing problems if a specific case winds up being postponed to the following year. In most cases, alert students can discover this claim vacuously for tenured decisions by comparing their age and length of service at MIT with Institute regulations on how long a professor may teach here without a tenured offer. Many departments have student officers or student societies of varying degrees of worth in educational matters. Some organize written collections, a few set up student committees, and others suffer the consequences of any decision. Those who see the possibilities for improvement must be armed with right to work reform.

Discussing the advisor system whole should reflect the fact that MIT does seem to compare well with other American universities. The Institute does have a good reputation for education and response to student needs. First, formal feedback from students to the department is at least well-developed. Second, there have been successful course evaluations and, although it is true that there is little input on tenurial decisions. Robert Alber- 

By Harold Federov

It is almost Spring. Almost a year after the MIT student action in Dan- bodia, a year after Kent State and Jackson State, and Washington, D.C. Everyone was friendly and the cause was just. The peace movement has come and passed.

It was a summer later. And Nixon talked the students to the hordeon. Like a sponge, his administration absorbed every- thing that was thrown at him. Even Sisyphus must get tired of rolling the boulder up hill. But he was discovered that work must be done. I have to think about my life. We want to be bothered with all that demonstrating. If Nixon is alloted to win, he will take care of us. It is the right answer. Perhaps some of us even wonder if we get tired and frustrated. We are those who must be the anchors on this war. Ended yesterday. Ended this week.

To the Editor: I feel impelled to protest the most absurd and unmerited reputation of the present issue of Ergo. In the platform statement by Mees, Schott, and Krywicki on page two, they state that Krywicki is "active on the right." This is provoking a great deal of work possible, and when it was not possible to avoid it, he did such a poor job that we were forced to seek the aid of others who showed at least minimal interest in the work.

If Mr. Krywicki plans to be as competent at being UAP (or anything else) as he was as a member of the Student Center Committee (he was careful to attend just enough to avoid losing his status as a mem- ber), I would rather see him going for voting for him over any other candidates.

I, the editors of Ergo will forgive my letter to their paper on how I felt that this correction should appear before the UAP election, and Ergo does not make editorial election day on Wednesday.

John Gunther

Tominger, Student Center Committee