Johnson: Politics to endanger MIT future

By Kyle Richardson

The current political climate under Howard John-
son, in his annual report for 1969-1970, stressed that "Even a tiny proportion of our overall interests are of the highest priority in an educational innovation and on the quality of opportunity for all students in our educational environment."

He was particularly concerned about the unfolding of the past year's academic improvement and political developments. It also defined the roles of politics and academics on campus. Johnson praised the development of the Experimental Studies Group, the Unified Science Studies, which increased opportunities for projects at all levels. He cited, too, the MIT Independent Program and MIT advances in teaching and research into new fields such as: Alabama science, environment, electrical power systems, and public transportation.

"Progress in the future is not assured," Johnson said. "We must act to define what the role of our institutions will be at MIT in the future."

The tendency of students and of faculty members around the country to turn the university into a political party on public issues is a dangerous trend. We do and must take positive action to make the university a more demanding institution for all our students and for all citizens."

To do so a number of students and of faculty of the whole university may succeed in producing a new political force, but they are not yet in the form of such a force. The same can be said about the role of the university in our intellectual and educational mission. Any such campaign,: whether as a call for more political involvement or as a call for more-well intentioned, will eventually lead to the strengthening of the political forces for improvement in our society. In the end we will have lost the privilege to be a tolerant, free, and disinterested.

President Howard W. Johnson

On the topic of dissent, Johnson said that dissent is "a positive, productive force for change and improvement. To rule it out or to rule it out as a threat to the fire of either majority or minority would be to create the anti-university," Distinguishing between dissent and violence, Johnson stressed, accept the first and deny the second."

"The tendency of students and of faculty members around the country to turn the university into a political party on public issues is a dangerous trend. We do and must take positive action to make the university a more demanding institution for all our students and for all citizens."

"Progress in the future is not assured," Johnson said. "We must act to define what the role of our institutions will be at MIT in the future."

The ten alternative common schemes are listed vertically and charted against total Dining Service sales, the change in sales in June from plan to plan, the change in deficit for each plan, and the change in deficits relative to plan No. 1.

All figures in the memo are projected. The Ashdown-House Dining Hall, which loses more money than any other dining hall, would stay open. The Ashdown-House Dining Hall is more profitable if all halls open. The system.

"The best single plan of the ten, he said, is one that would allow the dorms to continue their financial plight of the institution. "The students have come to expect all of the facilities of the institution," he said, "and if we do not have those facilities, we are going to lose the students."

Fulmer has been very successful, Prof. Foster feels, "I think a talk with the students would demonstrate what I'm saying."

"The students have come here from these Black institutions, have been impressed, (Please turn to page 3)