Analysis

University Strike IV

By Alex Makowski

It was bound to happen even without the war. With the barricades and the vigil, the war against the war seems ready to split, and the next few days may not only be the beginning of a student strike.

The nation is currently, the romance of forsaking class duty to battle in the streets. The thrill of once again claiming legitimacy from MIT administration and the sense of glory that comes with storming the labs is a crutch (if only for an hour or two) MIT's and the student body's perception of revolutionary movements. The glory that was France in May, 1968, will not be in this one but certainly won't be wide-spread across the nation, as long as MIT is out to screw the anti-war effort. A sizeable number of MIT students, the core of the student pressure, will continue using this. Undoubtedly, the administration will respond to the pressure, with little support for the administration, the students will have won.

Just what would an anti-university strike accomplish? The students will gain a bully pulpit. No - millions across the country respect the police. The students can help gain this respect that is currently owed to MIT across the nation, for its organization and political repression in the US, and women's rule in society. Taking place at MIT is the same. It will be a crutch. The non-students who oppose the war and who are serious about encouraging participation in the political process. They (and the administration) have been equally vehement in urging that action be directed at the politicians rather than toward violence. If they are serious about encouraging participation in the political process, they (and the administration) have been equally vehement in urging that action be directed at the politicians rather than toward violence. If they are serious about encouraging participation in the political process, they (and the administration) have been equally vehement in urging that action be directed at the politicians rather than toward violence.

Actually, peace is no different from any other social object- also an organized, long term effort on the part of the people. This will not only be a crusade against violence and all that it implies. These people will neither work within the system, nor tear it down. Instead, they work on the system to make it work as it is alleged to work.

One organization which is setting out to do just that is the National Movement for a New Congress. Beginning in Princeton at the start of the strike, it established chapters across the United States, perhaps 300 campuses. MNC will organize students nationwide to work for Congressional candidates who oppose the war and will work for social justice at home.

One key factor in determining the success of this or any other group which seeks to solve the current political crisis will be the leadership role of the students. As the so-called "Princeton Plan" by large numbers of schools. This plan requires that all students spend at least two weeks of vacation, immediately before election day to facilitate student participa- tion in the electoral process. Thus, a plan is now being studied by a faculty committee. All who are concerned should express their view to the com- mittee headed by Professor Franco Modigliani. At present, there seems to be some danger that the plan which may be proposed will not be sufficiently widely supported. It is essentially absolute that the plan which is approved by the faculty and students for students to return home to participate in campaigns there. The student faculty may not be sufficient to really get others doing it as well. The faculty who are important to this process, a degree from MIT is not sure pressure.

Finally, the "Bill of Sales" is the most ludicrous statement. A degree never proof that a student has used an educa- tion, so a student is entitled to what is being offered. An occurrence in class is not necessarily relevant, to a student's personal needs. The faculty role is the latitude to make their own decisions.
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