A further objection was made by the parents to the acceptance of the Trustees of the Senate. They stated: "We believe that 24 hour visitation by male guests is a more far-reaching issue than was ever conceived as a 'social regulation' to be decided by a simple majority vote of the current student body and by the current faculty." The Senate was enfranchised in 1919 to make decisions concerning "social regulations," and the vote on new parietal rules was taken in exercise of this franchise. The parents also claimed that the problem of out-of-town boyfriends is satisfactorily solved by existing facilities—a separate building for male guests.

While upholding the decisions of the Senate and the Academic Council, the Board of Trustees attempted to answer the objections of the Parents' Committee by adding several stipulations to its approval. Each dormitory, it stated, would have an "architecturally separate" area for students preferring the retention of partial parietals.

The Ad Hoc Committee objected to full parietals because of what they called a loss of security and privacy for Wellesley students and because it felt that the present parietals were sufficient.