The Tech strongly condemns President Nixon's recent decision to send advisors and arms aid to Cambodia.

A widening of the Indochinese war by American troops is as shortsighted today as it was when the United States began sending troops and aerial support to South Vietnam in 1961. The Vietnamese themselves claim the United States is supporting a regime which has neither been able to gain the allegiance of the people nor maintain a balance between East and West.

It is also unfortunate that our government is now opposing the reinstatement of a popular leader, Prince Norodom Sihanouk, who successfully managed to hold together a divided Cambodia for over a decade. American opposition to Sihanouk's return will only intensify the hostility felt by many Cambodians toward the United States. The United States then struck an additional blow to its efforts to win the support of the South Vietnamese people by abruptly uniting with the invading South Vietnamese. He has further involved the U.S. in a widening war from which it is not likely to emerge. As Lyndon Johnson attempted to gain a semblance of legitimacy for the Vietnam War through the Gulf of Tonkin resolution, Nixon has disregarded this totally successful withdrawal. Although our interests will be severely hurt, not aided, by this most unfortunate and intertemporal intervention. We should attempt to make the best of a rapidly deteriorating situation. Sending troops and arms to Cambodia now is definitely not the way.

Letters to The Tech

To the Editor:

In the April 28, 1970 edition of The Tech, there appeared a letter from the Fraternity Radical Caucus and Staff, as well as Graduate Students, which states, "Fraternity administration has also acted against the interests of workers by allowing GE representatives to come onto our campus during the recent GE strike. This is demonstrated against this re-cruiter were subsequently排出." These individuals should know that the Placement Office has nothing to do with the administration, but is actually run by the Faculty Advisory Committee on Placement. There is no present policy to deny privileges to industries whose workers are otherwise rejected by the date of the student interviews at MIT. If the signers of the letter wish to put forward a policy to the faculty committee, they are free to do so at any time.

Irwin W. Sizer
Dean

Fraternity Radicals
Brothers and sisters,

The Fraternity Radical Caucus is a group of fraternity and ex-fraternity members who have joined together under a common expression of concerns. We are unsatisfied with many aspects of the lifestyle that exist in fraternities and we are unsatisfied with the claim of political neutrality and the elitist image that is often projected by the IFC. Our goals may be defined in two very general areas: 1) encouraging change of lifestyles with the goal of making fraternities strongholds of base in political thought and action for larger-scale political activity.

In the area of internal change of the fraternity system, we recognize that the people who live in a particular house are best able to create and sustain a different culture there. As a group we see ourselves as a forum for exchange of ideas and as a means of providing meaningful alternatives to present fraternity lifestyles, and we will work to help students in such particular house when they ask us for help in working inside their house. The specific problem of the fraternity system we will deal with includes: the demand, meaning rush system which is currently practiced by the IFC, as a way of reinforcing the system that create artificial barriers between people and place professional restrictions on political thought. Our apparatus is being designed to support the political activity of the community. There is great value in participating in such action as a group of people whom you are very close and friendly to. We see it as a necessary step in making the base of the organization the groups of politically conscious people who live together in fraternity houses. Such groups can effectively provide a means of interaction by which individuals can clarify and develop political thought. The role of the Caucus is a coming together of people from within the groups of the same political organization that everyone who participates in and is affected by political activity. Our objective is in determining those actions and decide upon what level it will participate.

Due to the great amount of fraternities and elitism that already exists on this campus, we felt that the best way to help in this action TO THE WRD OF ID

The King wants to know what is so special about his pit python.

Tell him to call off the search for the missing flagpole.

(please turn to page 5)

Whither student power?

By Randy Hawthorne

Student power is a common and limits are used by those who are involved in their activities in a way that is not limited to the regular activities of the larger student body. It appears that most students want student power, but in some cases may not have enough power to satisfy anyone.

Power is the ability to control others; it is the ability to control one's situation and environment. It is more than just a justifying for their participation on faculty committees. It is used by members of student governments as a justification for their very existent as an organization. It appears that most students want student power, but in some cases may not have enough power to satisfy anyone.
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