The CJAC meeting on Monday will provide an important opportunity to get answers from both sides of the GM proxy fight question.

Tentatively, however, The Tech supports the recommendations of the General Assembly, as approved by the Corporate Responsibility Committee. That means we vote "yes" on five of the proposals of the Project on Corporate Responsibility, and abstain on the other two.

While the project's recommendations are convincing to the contrary on Monday, we urge that CJAC support these recommendations when it makes its report to the Corporation.

CJAC members at the meeting, in order to get the representative, CJAC promised that he would not be subjected to indiscriminate verbal abuse. We take this to mean heckling and cursing, but not broken up our meetings here before. We ask that individuals

inclined to such bavior please exercise discretion and restraint, as there are good reasons for this.

First, nothing is gained through shouted invective. If GM is in fact guilty of operating in a manner contrary to the public interest, this can be brought out through sharp questioning. Certainly Mr. Nader will not fail to get his licks in on the company in an adversarial, second, news reports that "hecklers refused to leave the meeting" cast GM in the "good guy" role, and, believing as we do that GM and other large corporations operate in a manner dangerous to the health, safety and welfare of the American people, we do not want them to be cast that way.

Therefore, we urge all members of the corporation and the CJAC meeting and let the facts speak for themselves.

What next to end war?

By Steve Caruso

April 17, the focus of spring antiwar activities, is nearly upon us. It is very few people in America are aware of the existence of the massive rally which has been planned for Thursday in Boston Common. What has changed since May 15? The large numbers of people who attended.

There was a new guy on the Common is October. He always thought theedom, he had more to deal and all, and he really felt sorry for the civilians who got killed. But he was not communists don't have elections, and then what difference an election is in this era, it is those who get elected and the guy who finished second in the election all. Yeah, the war isn't so hot—but spend an afternoon demonstrating? Only when was he talking about the Northwest factory. When Nixon was in office he had been in the office for a better part of a year and looked more like Johnson every day our friend finally felt moved to trot on down to the Common so that he could do something, and since even Howard Johnson was saying nice things about October 15, it couldn't be all that disputable.

Effect of fall actions

Six months have passed. What has been the effect of those actions? We proved to Nixon that he had to do something about the Vietcong, that he had to do something for the people of Vietnam. We forced everyone who had taken a "wait and see" attitude on Vietnam to come to grips with reality. We forced Nixon to take the first step in the direction of peace. We forced Nixon to have the public to stop believing in the war.

There are new aspects of the spring demonstrations. Vietnam could be seen as a turning point in the movement's effort to regain momentum. NAC and TDA have organized street actions in conjunction with April 15 activities. In October the self-defied revolutionaries gave only qualified support to the Moratorium, but now are organizing independent activities.

Support tactics

The most important tactic of NAC/TDA actions remains NAC's "bank windows as a political act." The results of the spring demonstrations have been mixed. Nixon has been forced to act, but the war may go on for a long time yet.

Letters to The Tech

To the Editor: I believe that some of the points mentioned in The Tech's May 1 editorial are valid. In particular, the GM proxy fight merits additional clarification. First of all, however, I want to say that the Project on Corporate Responsibility owns no insight, but brings out better through sharp questioning. This can be brought out through sharp questioning. Certainly Mr. Nader will not fail to get his licks in on the company in an adversarial, second, news reports that "hecklers refused to leave the meeting" cast GM in the "good guy" role, and, believing as we do that GM and other large corporations operate in a manner dangerous to the health, safety and welfare of the American people, we do not want them to be cast that way.

Therefore, we urge all members of the corporation and the CJAC meeting and let the facts speak for themselves.
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