To: Undergraduate Assembly,  
Black Student Union and  
the Graduate Student Council  

During the past several weeks, the Undergraduate Assembly,  
the Black Student Union and  
the Graduate Student Council have passed resolutions directed  
to the faculty of MIT chang-  
ing the actions of the Discipline Committee,  
calling for reforms in the judicial procedure, and  
asking for a review of the case of  
Mr. Michael Albert. Inasmuch as  
these resolutions are directed to  
the faculty, the Committee on  
Educational Policy and the senior  
faculty committee, has discussed  
these issues at length over many  
meetings.  

From our view of the ques-  
tions raised in the resolutions  
and other expression of concern  
and concerns, we discern three  
main concerns of the Discipline Committee's actions  
that must be examined. The  
first is a challenge to  
the existing procedures and calls  
for a reform of such procedures.  
The second involves questioning  
whether there may have been  
any delays in the execution of  
such procedures to Mr. Albert  
because of his political views  
and calls for a possible retrial.  
The third and most serious  
challenge is directed to  
the validity of the process by which it  
functions.

With regard to the first  
criticism, the CEP recognizes that  
many members of the MIT  
community have a legitimate  
concern about the procedures of the  
Committee. We also recognize  
the feeling on the part of many  
within the Institute that certain  
of the procedures are in need of  
reform.
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with the need for continually  
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