The administration was reportedly disconcerted about the meeting. With plans afoot to obtain an injunction against the sit-in, they may have been forced to postpone action due to the danger of a reaction developing there.

The speakers at the meeting addressed themselves to the topic of change at MIT, especially in the disciplinary system, and the demands resulting from it.

Pete Kramer '70, who resigned from the Discipline Committee two weeks ago, felt that demands were: 1) an end to imprisonment research, 2) no GE recruiting on campus, 3) an end to the harassment of MIT maintenance workers, 4) $150,000 to be given to the Black Panthers, 5) rescinding of past punishments, 6) abolishing of the Faculty Discipline Committee.

On Tuesday, November 21, 1970, at 10:30 a.m., the administration met with representatives of the student group to leave the offices. A call was made for a mass meeting at 3 p.m. Sunday. As they passed the office, the group decided to call a mass meeting for 3 p.m. Sunday.

The initial decision of the group to leave the office came just before 10 p.m. at a mass meeting in Killian's office. Apparently unaware of the injunction which the Institute was about to use, the group voted by a large majority to leave. Reliable sources reported that the administration was prepared to call the police at midnight.

After some of those present to clean up some of the mess made during the 34 hour occupation. Despite these efforts, the final toll of damage to the office included: slogans written on while the committee consists of well meaning people, "they're going to fail." He based this prediction on the belief that the committee is not doing "what it was meant for." He said that the administration knew there was a problem, but hadn't done anything about the committee. While the members of the committee don't think they're acting politically, they felt they were acting in a style and because of the style they were being politically repressive. He expressed a need to focus the attention of the community on the problem of the discipline system, and the danger of a reaction developing there.
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