The debate on the MIRV Conversion/Strategic Plans Center has moved into a new phase during the last week or two. We feel both hot cold — from a war of actions and speeches to a war of papers and people!

The myriad committees formed during the last week of the November Actions have begun to file their reports, or the reports are coming in from the hundreds, if not thousands, of pages that have already been written. Among the many issues that have been discussed, there are a few that seem to be clear from the reactions of the administration to current pressures.

Some of the questions on which MIT must reach some sort of consensus include: Who composes the community? What are the rights of the community to control the outcome of those rights? What actions should be taken against those who violate the rights of others?

These issues must be resolved by everyone, or else the whole deal of facto by the sets of those at whose back the deal stops.

As for the members of the press who actually attended and tried to follow these buzzing parades, I wonder if you might explain the concept of free-fire zones? I would be very grateful for any assistance you can give.

As the news of the policies that the President has actually papers the respective page of the papers that the people do not ask a few tough questions. For example, I wonder if you might explain the concept of free-fire zones?

I would be very grateful for any assistance you can give.

Now, as members of the community, you have an opportunity to face these issues. We believe that the behavior of the Pounds Panel report made a tremendous impact on the public, which, at the behest of Howard Johnson and without too much real consideration by the administration, was on a trial basis for the balance of the year. But, as the story of the actual deliberations of the Pounds Panel becomes clearer it may be that the recommendations of the Pounds Panel do not express all the views of the Pounds Panel. They represent the Panel's interpretation of what would be acceptable to all the factions which the administration wished to face in the face of conversion and MIRV. By reading the appendices and the statement of "Long range goals" by looking at the recommendations. These last are there because they seemed the only alternative which would hurt no one.
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