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JOHNSON SETS STUDY PANELS ON NAC ACTION
I-Labs suffer bomb scare; Institute acts in court

By Robert Elkin
The effects of the November Action in the I-Labs are going to be examined by Professor Rogers, a task that will be handled by a study panel of six faculty members. The panel will examine offenses that may have occurred in the I-Labs, and make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs.

Panel make-up
The first panel will be composed of six members, including two faculty members, two students, and two members of the Corporation. The panel will act under the direction of Professor Rogers, a task that will be handled by a study panel of six faculty members. The panel will examine offenses that may have occurred in the I-Labs, and make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs.

Panel activities
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Panel recommendations
The panel will make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs. The panel will make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs. The panel will make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs. The panel will make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs. The panel will make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs. The panel will make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs. The panel will make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs. The panel will make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs.

Panel conclusion
The panel concluded that the I-Labs are a valuable part of the MIT community, and that they should be preserved. The panel concluded that the I-Labs are a valuable part of the MIT community, and that they should be preserved. The panel concluded that the I-Labs are a valuable part of the MIT community, and that they should be preserved. The panel concluded that the I-Labs are a valuable part of the MIT community, and that they should be preserved. The panel concluded that the I-Labs are a valuable part of the MIT community, and that they should be preserved. The panel concluded that the I-Labs are a valuable part of the MIT community, and that they should be preserved. The panel concluded that the I-Labs are a valuable part of the MIT community, and that they should be preserved. The panel concluded that the I-Labs are a valuable part of the MIT community, and that they should be preserved.

Panel members
The panel members are: Professor Rogers, a task that will be handled by a study panel of six faculty members. The panel will examine offenses that may have occurred in the I-Labs, and make recommendations to the Administration about the future of the I-Labs. The panel will meet for the first time on Friday, November 19, at 4 p.m. in the President's Conference Room. The panel will meet for the first time on Friday, November 19, at 4 p.m. in the President's Conference Room. The panel will meet for the first time on Friday, November 19, at 4 p.m. in the President's Conference Room. The panel will meet for the first time on Friday, November 19, at 4 p.m. in the President's Conference Room. The panel will meet for the first time on Friday, November 19, at 4 p.m. in the President's Conference Room. The panel will meet for the first time on Friday, November 19, at 4 p.m. in the President's Conference Room.

By Lee Giguere
Canvassing is a focus of local Moratorium
Activities organized in the Boston area by the Moratorium Committee included canvassing and community improvement projects. The emphasis Thursday, Nov. 13, was on canvassing working class areas. The prime objectives of the canvassers were to "get people to read the materials," and "if people are opposed to Nixon's plan, to get them to do something about it." Torn-out moderate
Canvassing activities began at 9 a.m. and ran through most of the day. According to Jeff Rosen of the Massachusetts Moratorium Committee, the turn-out was moderate, but the day's activities went well. He estimated that a total of 500 people had participated. MIT participation light
Only about 20 MIT students participated Thursday in canvassing. The MIT Moratorium Committee had made preparations to canvass with volunteers. Although publicity during the week had been light, an attempt was made Thursday morning to arouse more interest by leafleting in the dorms.
Community improvement
Friday's community projects consisted of improvement work on some of Boston's underprivi- leged areas. About 35 students spent the morning painting the Elmia Lewis School of Fine Arts in Roxbury. Another 100 students cleaned up and leaflet- ed in the Orchard Park Public Housing Project. A third group worked for the South End Ten- ants' Council clearing out building. The purpose of these activities was to emphasize the lack of funds for domestic pro- grams.

Oct. 3 panel submits report
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Panel members
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Panel discussion
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Panel conclusion
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