Disciplinary group looks into Corporation battle

By Joe Kashi

Raymond L. Smith, a Professor of Chemical Engineering, has been asked by Dean for Student Affairs Daniel Nyhart to form a committee which will investigate the circumstances surrounding the October 3 demonstration against the MIT Corporation.

The committee is composed of Baddour, two faculty members who were on the fourth floor of the Sloan Building during the confrontation, and three students, two of whom were at the confrontation. Their names have not been made public by Monday morning.

Disciplinary action, arising from the battle, has been decided. Between students and campus police, and administrative personnel over admission to the meeting, will await the report of the committee, which was announced against those students identified as being involved in Student Affairs.

The committee will also make recommendations on the magnitude and nature of possible charges. Nyhart further stated that the committee rules are to initiate disciplinary action, he is awaiting the disponability of the findings.

Robert Bishop, Dean of the Faculty of Science, has formed a similar committee to investigate the actions of the Center for International Studies on October 10. Bishop also decided that the staff members of the Center to determine whether disciplinary action shall be taken against those involved in the peaceful picketing.

The General Assembly meeting which is scheduled for Thursday evening, and if as Professor Robert Smith and Dr. Benson Snyder with the delegates about a revision in the format of the committee's findings.

The General Assembly meeting will begin at 8 pm and is open to all students and staff members themselves are encouraged to attend.

Luria among researchers on government blacklist

Professor Salvador Luria, who last week won the Nobel Prize in Medicine for his work in the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare blacklist of scientists.

The blacklist, containing the names of about 100 leading scientists and those of former councilors, has been compiled by the National Institutes of Health. This list is now the subject of a new study by the Health, Education, and Welfare blacklist of scientists. The list is now the subject of a new study by the H.E.W. Those on the list are barred from serving on study boards or any other advisory bodies. The study board, set up by the health institutes to oversee the blacklist, was established in April 1968 to promote the investment of federal funds in things.

The H.E.W. blacklist was first exposed by the New York Times on May 14, 1968, at a time when the exact number of blacklisted researchers is unknown, the Times has now identified a total of 93 different names. The list is believed to have been drawn up for years within the department.

The newly exposed list that Luria appears on also includes seven members of the National Academy of Science, A Times source, who has interviewed with eight of those on the list confirmed that they had not served on any of the H.E.W. panels.

It is an same article reports that Luria also said that he had not served on any of the panels. Although Luria did not wish to discuss it further, persons close to him fear that the blacklist is in part a means of stabilizing the housing problem. Nelson is a key figure in the effort.

Nelson fights Council apathy

By Bob Denais

Steve Nelson, 28-year-old manager of a rock 'n roll club, is currently running as a "radical" candidate for the Cambridge City Council. In an interview last week, he showed that he is indeed radical when combined with a "radical" political background. He is a member of the Cambridge government, and his political involvement with the city government.

The AP candidate, who is an advocate of rent control, has a good grasp on the agenda as part procedure.

The committee's effort was "to focus on attention to two specific areas which the Department's staff agreed are requiring our attention: the new programs for minority students and the proposed liberalization of social rules for undergraduate residents. During a thorough afternoon open forum session and residence halls, a "radical" atmosphere for providing interested persons, to learn at first hand what issues were of greatest concern to the City Council meetings.

The report expresses doubts about the committee members about their ability to accomplish their goals set for themselves.

The recommendations of the committee were:

1) That the report be made available to members of the MIT community.
2) That the committee make all its recommendations for undergraduate residents. The report will be available to the public on the agenda as part procedure.

Visiting Committee reports

GROUP CALLS FOR STUDENT CONTACT

By Lee Gigmez

The 1968-69 Corporation Visiting Committee on Student Affairs released its report which contained recommendations for improving the lives of undergraduate students.

The first recommendation of the committee, which met last February, was that the report be made available to members of the Institute community.

The format of the committee's meetings was for the first time opened to encourage more active participation. Provisions were made in the Committee's plan for introduction of topics and changes which were not previously set in procedure.

The committee expressed doubts about the committee members about their ability to accomplish their goals set for themselves.