SACC, RLSDS confront Corporation
Protesters force entry into meeting

By Joseph Kashi

Students gained entry to Friday's meeting of the MIT Corporation at the Sloan Building Monday afternoon, climaxing a week-long confrontation that has riveted the attention of the media, students, and faculty of the MIT community. With some 300 police officers in attendance, the event was a dramatic confrontation over the corporation's role in the Vietnam War.

Students sit-in "Get off your ass, get out of war-research march which proceeded through the main buildings toward Sloan chanting: "Corporation at the Sloan Building was somewhat Black - class - End war research!"

"Kick the ass of the ruling class - End war research!" and "Power to the People." While the march was forming at 77 Mass. Ave., Professor Jerome Kaufman XXI addressed the crowd, vehemently denouncing MIT's big business connections and saying... "there must be a revolution in the way the corporation runs the university... Power to the people!" Kaufman also attacked the structure of the Corporation, noting that it consists not of notoried educators, scientists, philosopohers, etc., but of men who controlled a large number of the corporation's money.

Stopped outside, the student forces were met outside of Sloan by Dean for Student Affairs Daniel Nyhart who tried to reason with IFC Chairman George Kaseloff '70. Nyhart asked the marchers not to disturb the meeting in progress, and called the SACC charges "lots of horseshit." Nyhart was then told that "we made our decision [to confront the Corporation] it's not yours." when the students began shouting at Nyhart and surged past him toward the door of the Sloan Building. Nyhart said, "I realize that," and allowed the crowd to proceed into the stairs to the fourth floor, where the meeting was being held.

Entrance blocked Demonstration leaders found that the entrance to the Sloan Room was blocked by a barricade of tables and a corner of campus patrolmen and administration officials including Vice-President Wadleigh, Provost Jerome Warner, Assistant to the President Constantine Simonides, Nyhart, Vice-President John Wyner, Vice-President and Richard Soreson, Dean Deanon Snyder, and Dean William Sparer. Nyhart offered to allow three students into the meeting, but he was shouted down. Michael Albert '69 was then told that he should be inside the meeting, but he refused, saying, "I'm staying with the people."

Battle begins
Someone announced over a megaphone that the Corporation meeting was being held. "Where?" asked one of the demonstrators argue that the Corporation meeting was being held.

Expiration dates disclosed for two MRV contracts

By Steve Caahart

The two major contracts which MIT holds for President MDV research will expire in September 1970 and December 1973, an Institute official revealed Friday.

Full disclosure of all expiration dates on contracts for war-related research has been demanded by SACC in recent statements.

Joseph F. O'Connor, Administrative Assistant to Vice President for Special Projects Jack Ruina, who discussed MIT contractual commitments in an interview Friday, also stated that MIT has a "program commitment" to fulfill which may extend past formal contractual commitments.

For example, for example, that MIT might continue Poseidon work past the 1973 expiration date since the statement by the Executive Committee of the Corporation that MIT will accept no new contracts for the development of weapons systems. This decision could be made, for example, if some of the work which had been scheduled to be completed by 1973 had not been.

"Program commitment" When questioned concerning how their program commitment would be determined, O'Connor said he imagined that it would be decided by the standing committee on the Special Laboratories, which was recommended by the Pounds Panel in its interim report.

O'Connor expressed a similar opinion when questioned concerning how "weapons system" would be defined when considering future projects in light of the recent decision of the Corporation Executive Committee. He said that this decision would probably rest with the President of the Institute in consultation with the standing committee on Special Laboratories, Ruina, and other officials.

New contract Further questions were raised (Please turn to page 2)

SDS supports Panthers

By Ted Lichtenstein

A Rosa LuxembourgSDS sponsored meeting Thursday night calling for support for the Black Panthers ended with a march to President Howard Johnson's house to demand that MIT donate $150,000 to the Black Panther Party.

The march was led by UAP Mike Albert '69. About 150 people, half of them onlookers, took part in the orderly demonstration. At Johnson's door, they were met by the campus patrol, the note was left, and Albert suggested the group break up and come back for the SACC-SDS demonstration at the Corporation meeting the next day.

The meeting in 10-250 attracted about 400 people from the MIT community at large. The meeting was somewhat lacking in organization but quite orderly; the audience on the whole seemed sympathetic to the Panthers. When contributions were solicited the response was generous.

Gene Jones, the speaker from the Panthers, set the dales of the Panther ideology. According to Jones, the capitalist system in America exploits the people to further the interests of the corporations. Any ideas, I think that (Please turn to page 2)

Radicals refuse 'ritual' trial of academic group convenes

By Alex Makowski

The politics of "business as usual" ran head-on into radical tactics Saturday as the faculty Committee on Discipline held its first hearings on the disruption of the Alumni Officers meeting. Chaired by professor Roy Ramson, the Committee strove to maintain a formal, judicious atmosphere. Their efforts were frustrated by the constant attempts of the accused to turn the hearing into a political discussion forum.

Six students are facing possible disciplinary action for their parts in the September 7 demonstration. Mike Albert '69, Emily Prince '71, Pete Bohmer '70, John Fadum '70, Peggy Hopper '71, and Steve Kramer '71 were notified by mail of the charges against them. Owen Franken '69 received notice that charges were dropped, one source reported, since Franken was reporting on the alumni meeting. The Technology Review. The initial official charge against the six is "disruption of free communication." The original complaint was filed by Dean for Student Affairs Daniel Nyhart. Albert angrily insisted that Nyhart, for registering the complaint, should resign or be fired.

The demonstrators relied on political arguments to develop their defense. "When accused of bringing politics into what was essentially an apolitical discussion of nutrition and medicis, they replied that any institute conference was inherently political. Lab brochures used to underwrite the nature of MIT activity.

"The drama for the disappeared "freedom of communication" claim, the six contended that there is really no free communication in this university. Again, the Institute functions alike a prison under open discussion."

The six, consisting of trying students for demonstrations on a campus sanctioning such "citizens against humanity" as the MIV and MIT work was also emphasized in the terms of the six, "is Ruina? The demonstration on the special projects director was a more important criminal.

Reflecting the attempts of the six to prove the irrelevance of the corporation to the entire universe, they sought to create, "I proved," noted one of the students present, "the SDS is no longer content to abide by the word of the six. The MIT functions smoothly will be secondary to the achievement of their radical goals."

"Ritualistic" was the word the same student offered to describe the committee's plans for the hearing. Tables for the committee members, set out of the walls, while three rows of chairs were set up for the defendants and their witnesses. As the testimony unfolded, the committee united solemnly heard the evidence.
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