There seems to be a number of problems both in Vietnam and in the United States which are fundamentally different. The U.S. has made an inevitable departure from that troubled land which are receiving virtually no attention, either in the government or in the academic community. These problems must be solved if we are to extricate ourselves without terrible side effects or here.

Problem Number One: What will the state of affairs be after our withdrawal? Proceeding from the assumption that the Thieu-Ky regime is not a viable entity, what scenario might be realistic for a post-Vietnam? The only two which seem reasonably acceptable are a coalition supported by the US both diplomatically and economically, and an NLF government. Have we explored the possibility that a coalition government which received massive US aid for redevelopments (studies, actually) might be moderately stable and not unfriendly to the US? Perhaps if we could work out a viable solution for post-withdrawal Vietnam, we might not get so hung up on withdrawal procedures.

It is probably naive to expect the NLF to co-operate with the US in the redevelopment of Vietnam after all that we have done there. But there is no harm in our trying to do so. We feel that inquiry into the realities of post-withdrawal Vietnam might be like Project CAM may still be looking like a good place for it.

There are only a few of the problems which might be considered as we withdraw from Vietnam. Now it seems to us that we have think tanks to figure out how to get into wars, and think tanks to fight off how to fight wars—how about a think tank to heed the war? These would be the sort of questions which either an ad hoc group, or perhaps an established agency such as CUF could undertake if it thought to do a real service to this country.

Description of a project which might be inap propriate for direct Institute sponsorship. Its President is the President of Harvard, VP is President of MIT, and its trustees consist solely of corporation men and the Rich. Its purpose is to advise and recommend to the corporation, the research that might be moderately stable and not unfriendly to the US while having a reasonable chance of success.

Problem Number Two: What sort of psychological shocks will we suffer as the result... and move a project...

The UNTEL Corporation’s expressed aim of encouraging cooperation between MIT and Harvard in areas of new technology and education is a good one. But we were most interested to read the proposal in the report to the trustees that Project MAC or Project 360 be transferred to UNTEL.

Since, as far as we can tell, Project MAC isn’t planning on going anywhere in the near future, it looks a lot like Project CAM may still be searching for a (non-controversial) home in the Cambridge Complex. And frankly, UNTEL might be a good place for it.

UNTEL fits remarkably well the description given last year of what the Instrumentation Lab would have been like if MIT had decided to deviate itself of the special laboratories— an independent corporation having a close association with MIT, but able to carry on work whose nature might be inappropriate at the Institute. And Project CAM pretty well fits the description of a project which might be inap propriate for direct Institute sponsorship. There seems to us that there must be some people not associated with either institution who would welcome the chance to direct research and development in UNTEL field. We would like to see a little more involvement of MIT in UNTEL activities, not only because the Cambridge Project might be transferred to it, but because such people might bring more new ideas with them when they come.

Ominous Autumn

By Bruce Schwartz

"... There will be no more fun and games." - S. Shuker, Say goodbye to hippie, Yippie, we mean business now. "How many of you have guns? Well, get guns." - Mark Rudd SIDS has been evicted from BU. Someone disseminated with irid., Rudd's analysis and found himself hospitalized.

"The process of thoughtful and orderly reform." - George McGovern.

"Liberal bullshit." - Mike Albert

"Getting a lil' bit grn, no? Waiting for the revolution.

What do you do when you're left of Gene McCarthy but not so quite so far over as Mark Rudd? You watch Richard Nixon and the Black Panthers and PL-WLA and SDS (types A through O) and sweat.

Of course, you can, as many do, retreat into the world of Academe. Sleep in the Stud Center Library, Take 84 units and study hard. Ignore leaflets. Don't buy the papers, don't read the magazines.

Or you can try to walk the fence, take them courses, crack books; all the righteous peasants are on your side. Take in a peace march now and then. Or rest in awe of what might be the angels.

You can join some Monter project. Go to the liberal route and work from within to change the administration. So what is it that absorbs you and keeps you busy churning out reports and going to meetings? You're doing your bit, ain't ya?

You look at America and hell yeah lots of things strike out lose but you got to ask the question do we need all down and start over?

Revolution means blood. It is not fun and games. Revolution has a bad record. Robespierre. Stalin and Mao's box, once opened, cannot be resealed.

Revelation is scary. Watch and wait. October 4, October 5, November 7? (commit your local SIDS for time and channel and bonging! November 15.

"Everyone who didn't make it to Woodstock or the Pentagon or Chicago will be there, plus those who missed it last time." Start thinking in terms of half a million camped on Nixon's lawn. The troops are ready waiting. Mae, billyclubs, hose--maybe dogs, why not bleeps. The half million are not there to groove or drugs; they're there to show off. They're pissed off and somebody, maybe many, more will be there to be sure they get mad, will start violent demonstration or rush it. Those White House lawn, will shit on Washington Monument or attack fence. Fuzz counterattack, kid gloves carries them off, protectors beaten! Some cowards gone back come in...

Nothing looks like a billy club or Mae face.

Question 4, does this happen? Once it was said that this revolution would be for love, for the peace, for the world? What could one get--very rarely anyway-- in Nixonia?

Yet should that scenario be repeated, it would mean a moderate radical, will have no choice. The fanatics and the non-fanatics are now in the picture. So what is your vulgar aim then? Is it for you to join with your half-million fellow to create meaning, but when the cops goad into that rush it won't matter anyway.

Fanatics get the air time: they’re “dramatic”. The Corpo rated men are telling the people way to tell the people the truth, how to tell the people what they think. When the people, if they have the guts, say they're “dramatic.” The Corpo rated men are telling the people way to tell the people the truth, how to tell the people what they think. When the people, if they have the guts, say they’re “dramatic.” The Corpo rated men are telling the people way to tell the people the truth, how to tell the people...

The student’s voice

There has been long understood confusion on the part of the administration and faculty on the question: what is student opinion? Naturally, no one suggests that the student body is monolithic, but in some cases there does exist a strong consensus.

Tuesday night the General Assembly demonstrated that it is capable of acting on behalf of the student body on major issues. After a week in which Assembly members consulted their constituencies, the Assembly met and voted strong support for the student 360 Movement. This position was passed by a duly constituted body which represents as closely as possible the opinions of all undergraduates. There need be no confusion about student sentiment on this matter.

69 Dedication for the 60.11 project to be discussed by the EE department for this term:

"Why I write such good books" - Friedrich Nietzsche

"Why we are not Nietzscheans" - T.S. Huang, R.R. Parker

70 A Sammy when queried a-

72 The MIT Corporation will discuss the issue of war-related research at the Annual Corporation meeting today.