Controversy centers on date of proposed ‘day of concern’

(Continued from page 5)

In the meeting of the ad hoc group late Thursday, it was decided that there were few basic differences between the Albert and Curtit proposals and that the group division contained both was entirely compatible. The group also agreed to begin the pace at the mass kickoff meeting to include more issues.

Two proposals embodied an unresolved difference: the nature of the final meeting in a vote taken around 6 pm Thursday night (when some had already left), the group proposed the ‘Town meeting’ over a ‘small seminar’ for the evening by a 8-4.

The Faculty Council met constant-ly during the week in order to keep the faculty informed. The substance of these meetings is unknown, but it was clear that the Faculty Council, led by Assistant Dean Kenneth Wadleigh, and in attendance at the final meeting, had given them the impression Thursday night that ‘small seminar’ was reasonable to assume that the activi-ties of the group were discussed in the meeting.

The sense of the Faculty Council was that a motion should be offered to the faculty which would establish a group of students, faculty, administration and staff to determine how community participation in the resolution of the issues might be facilitated. The group would be empowered to command physical resources and suggest that the committee might meet for a number of days to make possible the widest possible participation. This report received the impression that the group would organize a program not unlike the program carried out by the ad hoc group, for some future date.

At press time it was not known what the exact nature of the faculty resolution would be if ad hoc group met. Would reach the floor of the faculty meeting.

In a separate meeting Thursday night, 11 PM, Michael Freund ‘69 said, ‘They’d better give me speaking privileges, because I’ll go speaking anyway.’

The ad hoc group was disturbed by the faculty-administrative body of Monday night which had given them the impression Thursday night that they were of the same kind of mandate from the faculty to draw up a proposal so that the faculty would have an idea of what would occur if they did cancel classes.

To Members of the MIT Community:

I address this letter to all members of our community on the subject of MIT’s two large special laboratories, Lincoln Laboratory and the Instrumentation Laboratory. The laboratories have a distinguished history and it is important to know them understanding them today.

Starting with World War II, the Federal Government realized the critical importance of science and technology to institutions conducting research bearing upon national security. At MIT this led to creation of the Radar Laboratory, which was closed after the war, the Instrumentation Laboratory, and later the Lincoln Laboratory.

It has long been the stated policy of the Institute to support public service especially to any branch of the local, state, and national levels. In addition those laboratories were established in accord with that policy.

The Instrumentation Laboratory, since its inception by a faculty group led by Professor Draper in the Depart-ment of Aeronautics and Astronautics, has been at the forefront of guidance, navigation, and control technology. Its current effort is about equally divided between NASA including the Apollo program and Department of Defense programs.

Lincoln Laboratory was created another eighteen years ago in response to urgent appeals to the MIT Corporation, faculty, and administration by the Depart-ment of Defense to do research and development directed at continent-al all defense in the nuclear age. The laboratory was established as a result of a national study group concluded this was an appropriate role for the Institute. It was initially qualified to accept responsibility. The laboratory is now deeply involved with major programs in space communication, air control, missile defense, computer programs, radio technology, solid state research, and other areas in applied electronics.

The laboratories located adjacent to the campus and in the Western Lexington area now have a total of over 376 employees with an annual budget of over 100 million dollars. Their operation is conducted without a net loss, but with a large gain. Faculty members and students participate in the laboratories’ programs, but most of the staff consists of full-time professionals who do not have teaching responsibilities on campus. The Laboratories’ Directors report to the President through the Vice President for Special Laboratories. The laboratories are organized to handle classified work through the essential nature of a university and its commitment to its prime responsibility for service to the nation. I would ask the panel to review the operations and programs of the current laboratory and recommend the processes by which new programs are accepted, the relationship of the Laboratories to on-campus research and education, and in general, the long- standing policies and procedures with respect to public service obligations.

It is of prime importance that the panel take into consideration the Institute’s commitment and responsibility to the Laboratories’ personnel. The panel will have the opportunity to review the operations and policies of the laboratories’ personnel. The panel will also have the opportunity to review the personnel policies of the Laboratories. I ask the panel to give me its full report before the end of this academic year. In the future, I propose that such a review panel be convened periodically. I ask the panel to give me its full report before the end of this academic year.

Text of Johnson’s statement

Radcliffe Grant-in-Aid Presents

Dann Yankees

Agassiz Theatre

Radin Yard Radcliffe Yards

Cambridge Cambridge

May 2, 10

8:30 pm

For reservations call 354-2715.

Saturday, May 1, 3

8:30 pm

Kenge Auditorium

Ticket 32.00, $2.50

Regular Courses April 17

Private Instruction All year-round

FOREIGN LANGUAGES

Don’t leave things for “Mañana”

start working now to enjoy your next trip or vacation

The MIT Gilbert and Sullivan Society presents The Yeomen of the Guard

May 1, 3, 7

8:30 pm

Kenge Auditorium

reservations and tickets available by calling 10

or call MIT X 4720
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