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Student members of the New Left (of whatever shade, for that matter) are increasingly asking the question: Should MIT keep its大门 opened to the military? This issue is complex, but I feel that MIT’s role in the军事-industrial complex is the primary reason MIT must be independent of government influence. Research on campus is financed by the government and the administration’s attitudes on science, this can only make the MIT community ask: What may instead arise from this discussion is a genuine debate on what the higher moral good, liberty provides against the military-industrial complex.

President Nixon’s decision to deny the appoint-ment as head of the National Science Foundation to Dr. Franklin Long solely on the basis of his stand againstABM represents a gross threat to the scientific community.

The head of NSF supervises the allocation of over $1 billion in grants to scientists. It is not likely that this decision will not have repercussions on the basis of the scientific merit of the projects they propose. It is a distinctly non-political position. Whether this means that the NSF will not have to decide whether scientists are worthy of a grant or whether the research they are doing is of any importance.

Some might argue that SACC, as a minority, has no right to determine what sort of research another member of the MIT community can do. On the contrary, isn’t SACC, as a minority, having a right to say what research another member of the MIT community can do. In fact, it seems to be a valid principle.

The Nathan ABM protest is a significant event. The Nathan ABM protest is a significant event. The Nathan ABM protest is a significant event.

There is no question that the Nathan ABM protest is a significant event. The Nathan ABM protest is a significant event. The Nathan ABM protest is a significant event.