Student affairs panel meets, decision process gets airing

(Continued from page 1)

Mr. Virginia Hildebrandt '67, a member of the committee, and a former coed, was here when there was not a girl's dorm. The committee, "when the situation will never appear as good and glorious after a few years of this arrangement." There was some question as to the safety of those coeds living off-campus. Westleigh said that he overlooked the problem. Also, those who live off-campus, for special reasons of some sort, have not had any problems.

The committee was given the petition that the McCormick girls signed. The petition had the provision that coeds under 21 would have to have parental permission to live off-campus. The committee felt that even that restriction should not be kept, and that the female living requirements should be exactly the same as the male requirements.

Much was made of the fact that the parietal policy in the dorms is at the whim of the board of licensing. The dorms and fraternities are considered as boarding houses. Such houses can be closed at the whim of the board of licensing. Mr. Gerald Draper, member of the committee and a lawyer, said that he didn't see anyone "shutting down the dorms because they violated the licensing laws." But that "furthermore, they are in a worse position."

The committee is in charge of administration and house matters present was that it would be necessary to make sure that officials of the various houses understand thoroughly their responsibilities under the law. Tuesday afternoon was devoted to closed discussion. This was divided into discussion with faculty and administration and a session completely closed in which they drew up their recommendations, which were not available at press time.

Gregory Smith, chairman, said that he personally favored making the committee's recommendations public, but that the committee as a whole felt that that decision was up to the committee and that he had no say in the matter.
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when we say there's a real opportunity for you here

Before you decide what you are going to do with the new life your degree can open up for you, think about all the possible opportunities.

Don't just answer the usual questions about big company versus small company, service company versus product company, industry versus academia, or public versus private business.

Think about where you can do most of what you like best, where you can make the most meaningful change.

We think you can do it here if what you are interesting in is reasonable improvements in the world. We build electronic systems to communicate, gather and process data, defend the country, and study inner and outer space.

We expect that our systems approach will also help us solve some of the big problems in our day-to-day concerns like medicine, education, and city planning.

We need men and women with degrees in business administration, engineering, and liberal arts to help us realize the full potential of these systems.

We need individuals who know that formal education can never stop for system builders, who will take advantage of our many education opportunities, including prepaid tuition, in-plant graduate degree programs, and fellowships. Accomplishments in this atmosphere can be extremely satisfying, academically as well as personally and professionally.