**Can an engineer find a good spot with United Air Lines?**

One did.

His name is George Keck. He came to work for us as an industrial engineer in 1946. Today, he’s the president.

Here’s the real point: engineers at United Air Lines are not an isolated group of people, but a rapidly growing group whose contributions are vital to our programs. Top management watches those contributions with extreme care and rewards the people who make them.

There’s a growing need at United Air Lines for aeronautical, electrical, electronic, mechanical and industrial engineers. We need them to improve designs of present aircraft and related equipment and to work with component manufacturers on the development of new equipment.

We want top talent—people who can do the job with imagination and intelligence. If you’ve got it, you’ll find a good spot with United Air Lines.

CAMPUS INTERVIEWS WILL BE CONDUCTED ON NOVEMBER 22

---

**Field Day examined**

**'71 President**

(Continued from page 1)

Council voted approximately 25-5 (show of hands) to boycott. As the class Executive Committee favored the motion unanimously but were ineligible to vote, about 85% of the meeting favored boycott.

The motion passed for several reasons (see The Tech, Nov. 12). I have been called on to explain some of these.

Varsity Club did not consult the undergraduate student body as it was instructed by the Institute Committee, according to the recommendations of the Field Day committee.

The representatives were asked about the feelings of the sophomores in their living groups (in no case more than 25 people). For this reason, I feel that they had the ability to make this decision on behalf of the class.

The other reasons speak for themselves.

A personal point: I support the Field Day boycott I wish I could have begun it myself. I did my best to enforce it because I feel that this is the best way, at present, to make people up enough to seriously consider its problems. The Tech stated that this Field Day would have been the event ‘last kick’ and that ‘enthusiasm for Field Day has dropped to an all-time low (Nov. 12).’

The sophomore class agreed and decided to do something about it.

**Field Day examined**

**'72 President**

(Continued from page 1)

small group of Sophomores to give us a good fight.

2) Field Day in the past has been the greatest contribution to Freshman Class interest, spirit and unity. It has been largely responsible for the organization of each class at the earliest possible time.

3) The Class of ’72 was able to build up an efficient and enthusiastic organization because the postponement came at such a late date. This organization wanted to finish what it started.

4) There were many who agreed that this Field Day was a poorly planned one; but we were afraid that a decision to boycott could result in the permanent demise of the Field Day tradition.

5) We did not see why we couldn’t make preparations for a better Field Day for the fall of 1969, boycotting the 1968 edition of the event.

These were the reasons we decided it would be wrong to boycott Field Day. We are now meeting with the Class of ’71 to find a format that will please the Illib disciplined Sophomores.

As an added comment, I speak as the president of my class in saying that the Class of ’72 is ready, willing and able to make those contributions. The motion passed for several reasons (see The Tech, Nov. 12).

The Class of ’72 is ready, willing and able to make those contributions. The motion passed for several reasons (see The Tech, Nov. 12).

Field Day examined

---

**Forums to face issues of day**

(Continued from page 1)

- develop cooperation & commitment to solve the problem
- make involvement in MIT a part of the MIT training process
- involve as many people as possible in the changes at MIT

John Haskens, GSC President, stated that: "There can never be 'a Columbia here,' however, there will be 'an MIT here.' The contemporary problems posed by the Sanctuary cut across the traditional university boundaries, but there are still endemic differences between students, faculty, and administrators which may be worked out before we can approach the contemporary problems."

President Johnson welcomed the plans for the forum, and said that "this is one of many avenues of communication which should be utilized in the University."

---

**Eric Andersen**

From the closed dead ends and littered canyons of lower Manhattan, he sings of the future—sometimes burning bright, sometimes simply burning. A new kind of ecstasy on firehere.

---

**TONIGHT**

N.E.T Playhouse presents "The Golden Fleece" written by M.I.T.’s A.R. Gurney, Associate Professor of Literature

8:30 pm CHANNEL 2 repeat Sunday November 17 at 8:30 pm