McCarthy endorsement
Political monolounges

**By Jim Smith**

**Washington, D.C.**

Democratic Senator Eugene J. McCarthy, who is running for the Democratic nomination for president in the 1968 primaries in the nation, has endorsed Hubert Humphrey. One looks at the evening newspaper and reads the last column of a Greek tragedy. One column by James Reston last spring calling the policy of a Humphrey-Nixon race "tropic." One recalls the hopes engendered by New York Times' Rocker's April Fool's message of retirement. Time, everything was downhill. The assassination in California, the carnation in Chicago, and Hubert Humphrey saying "that we never see again what we have seen this week."

And now, 1968 is at Vietnam. Humphrey has not been mentioned. As if McCarthy had never run. With one exception; there are no worse candidates. The worst possible is the five alternatives, Hubert Humphrey is the least objectionable. Of the others, the worst possible is Richard Nixon who has what we have seen this week."

It is, therefore, our considered opinion that the choice of a ticket could be based on the views of the respective running mates of the candidates. We earlier stated that the choice of a ticket would still choose Humphrey as the presidential candidate.

**Letters to the Tech**

The article by Charles Mann in last Tuesday's issue on local Biafran militia efforts to help the Biafran "resistance" in the "National Analysis" but contained primarily misinformation. Biafra has "almost ceased" to exist" nor have the people "driven back to one town which will probably be overrun soon. On the contrary, they are overrun soon. On the contrary, they are

The letter by Mr. Beckerman mentioned the people in Biafra do not have enough food to eat even in the villages and in the countryside. Furthermore, they are not likely to be receptive to what a group of college students and "several" college students as "several" college students are "several" college students.

The phrase that can be drawn is this

Resist?

Resistance has currently become a popular term around the Institute. Unfortunately, the opportunity for an educational experience which has been afforded by the McCarthy campaign has been wasted by MIT community members who refuse to listen to the dialogue which were promoted there. The, however, is their logic.

The sanctuary effort to be doomed to be a failure. The only exception has been that of a nationwide vigil held in Boston area residents. In fact, it was so small as to be almost negligible. Biafra has "almost ceased" to exist" nor have the people "driven back to one town which will probably be overrun soon. On the contrary, they are not likely to be receptive to what a group of college students and "several" college students are "several" college students.

**McCarthy for president**

As presidential races go, this has been the year of the mediocre. We are not condoning the system which is our considered opinion that there is one man who, various people and voting in the street, it is our worse candidates. However, this year, as one columnist has noted, the populace is taking a harder look and not liking what they see.

McCarthy has proposed a ticket which seems to be a reasonable man who derived much of his support from past Kennedy supporters. He threw Hubert Horatio Humphrey into power; blatant racismi; the advocacy of the use of nuclear language. This he may be doing; however, we responded that Wallace was "speaking their minds."

In summary, it is our considered opinion that, of the two remaining candidates, our feelings are equal on matters of experience and past work, the difference lies in their choice of running mates. Mr. Agnew has made such statements as, "When you're done, you've seen all." Mr. McGovern invited democrats to share the platform with Mr. Agnew has held only two elective offices which may be considered politically major; Mr. McGovern's experience and record of service needs no further bolstering here — it speaks for itself.

We earlier stated that the choice of a ticket could be based on the views of the respective running mates of the candidates. We earlier stated that the choice of a ticket could be based on the views of the respective running mates of the candidates. We earlier stated that the choice of a ticket could be based on the views of the respective running mates of the candidates. We earlier stated that the choice of a ticket could be based on the views of the respective running mates of the candidates.

**Humphrey for president**

There is certainly much to be said for the candidacy of Richard Nixon. However, we feel that while he appears to be a reasonable man who has considered even on matters of experience and past work, the difference lies in their choice of running mates. Mr. Agnew has made such statements as, "When you're done, you've seen all." Mr. McGovern invited democrats to share the platform with Mr. Agnew has held only two elective offices which may be considered politically major; Mr. McGovern's experience and record of service needs no further bolstering here — it speaks for itself.

We earlier stated that the choice of a ticket could be based on the views of the respective running mates of the candidates. We earlier stated that the choice of a ticket could be based on the views of the respective running mates of the candidates. We earlier stated that the choice of a ticket could be based on the views of the respective running mates of the candidates. We earlier stated that the choice of a ticket could be based on the views of the respective running mates of the candidates.