Many groups meet

The fate of MIT's AWOL war protestor J. Mike O'Connor and the nature of his career at the Institute have been in the balance this week. Monday afternoon, administrative and student groups met in emergency sessions. The issue at stake was twofold. The first, and more important, was that of determining the nature of the Sanctuary in the near future and finding a new room for it. The second major problem was that of determining the nature of the Sanctuary in the near future and finding a new room for it.

Like previous meetings, the atmosphere at this one was tense and hostile. O'Connor could lecture to classes if the professor in charge of them acquiesced to his demands. He explained that the sanctuary was a necessary part of the educational program of the university and that it would be difficult to find a suitable place to house it. O'Connor also mentioned that the sanctuary had been created by the students themselves and that they had a right to determine its future.

The administration, represented by President Norden, argued that the sanctuary was a violation of the rules and that it would have to be closed. Norden stated that the sanctuary had not been properly licensed and that it was not in the best interests of the students. The students, represented by O'Connor, argued that the sanctuary was necessary for the free exchange of ideas and that it was not in violation of any rules.

The meeting ended with a vote, and the sanctuary was closed. The students were unhappy with the outcome and continued to protest. They argued that the sanctuary was a necessary part of the educational program of the university and that it should not be closed.

The sanctuary provides thought, division

Sanctuary at MIT has raised some tough philosophical problems, which the community of students, teachers, and administrators here would do well to consider. Many of these problems revolve around the issue of free speech and the rights of the sanctuary. It has been pointed out that key figures in the situation have themselves been undecided over the events, and it has not been unusual to see the same figures take different stands on the matter at different times.

Within the administration, for instance, one split has been clear since the first meeting. Although the people on the higher level have favored the sanctuary, and taken a helpful attitude, the people who have to carry out the policy handed down from them have often been Vs. The creation of an "outside world" in which people can communicate with peers and to work for the improvement of student life at MIT has not achieved its primary goal; being responsive to the needs of the students as a group assigned a few people to efficiently organize us all, but now that their interests are no longer focused, outside the Sala, an the "outside world" of the Russhun intelligentsia.

In an article in the second and final installment of the Inscomm article, it is presented with a view toward informing the community of the proposals to the university, and the student's relation to the environment and organization which is MIT. It is presented with a view toward informing the community of the...