George Wallace's candidacy came under fire before it was run. The decision to proceed with the placement, the poll searching. It was the considered opinion of those concerned that, if the ad were not run, we would be guilty of something far more serious, more mendacious, that we have to stand accused of suppression of an idea. This is, after all, the issue. No matter how repellent the idea of Wallace in preaching to the base, that remains that the treatment of those facts, such, should not be ignored.

In a day when news media are being accused or dusted covered by people from the Mayor of Chicago down to blue collar "swing voters", I seem strange to be criticized for attempting to be as objective and fair as possible.

This would also, of course, solve the second problem. Much of the publicity planning has been for large-scale displays, which simply do not communicate with the individual student. We feel that the above solution would also take care of this.

Now, for those who have gotten this far, we would like to highly recommend TIffn '68 as an event to go to either with or without a date. Basic information: Friday, October 11, McDermott Court (next to the Great Salt), 5-12 pm.

Mr. Tim Heyman's letter below points up a problem that is being faced by more publications than just ours this year. That is, what should the line be drawn between what is called "responsible journalism" and a blatant slanting of the news. We are convinced, that this is an issue one way or the other, and, no doubt, this is somewhat true.

However, where should the line be drawn. Newspaper readers must know that a superficial look at the headline, accused of slanting the news, and on the other are accused of irresponsible journalism. It is appropriate at this time to state where we stand on this line; this is why we feel other publications or ours should make the distinction. The advertisement in question (supporting Mr. George Wallace's candidacy) came under fire before it was run. The decision to proceed with the placement, the poll searching. It was the considered opinion of those concerned that, if the ad were not run, we would be guilty of something far more serious, more mendacious, that we have to stand accused of suppression of an idea. This is, after all, the issue. No matter how repellent the idea of Wallace in preaching to the base, that remains that the treatment of those facts, such, should not be ignored.
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