Brenner attacks pot laws, calls for 'complete review'

(Continued from Page 1)
Brenner addressed the question of the psychological reasons for one's taking marijuana. He pointed out that the LSD laws are currently more lenient than those against mari-juana. Dr. Brenner concluded that the "illusion" of a choice, which was well illustrated by the "time is ripe for a complete review of the marijuana laws.

An "Illusion" for Youth

On the question of the psychological reasons for one's taking marijuana, Prof. Lettvin asserted that, besides the factor of curiosity, there is a young, revolting and thought-provoking generation seeking a way out of a dilemma. He em-phasized that the current generation is endowed with more intelligence than any previous one, but their degree of personal freedom has been decreased. He believes that marijuana has created for them the "illusion" of a choice.

Regarding the legal aspects, Prof. Lettvin declared that "the Feds paint it big . . . but they are not sure." He also mentioned that some sort of ill-conceived legalization was advisable. But as for a specific program, there are many more problems that need to be solved.

Returning to the legal aspects, it was pointed out that the LSD laws are currently more lenient than those against mari-juana. Dr. Brenner considered that "the time is ripe for a complete review of the marijuana laws."

An "Illusion" for Youth

On the question of the psychological reasons for one's taking marijuana, Prof. Lettvin asserted that, besides the factor of curiosity, there is a young, revolting and thought-provoking generation seeking a way out of a dilemma. He em-phasized that the current generation is endowed with more intelligence than any previous one, but their degree of personal freedom has been decreased. He believes that marijuana has created for them the "illusion" of a choice.

Regarding the legal aspects, Prof. Lettvin declared that "the system as a whole. Dr. Brenner generally agreed that there are many more per-sons, how can "distort as well as enhance," decrease it to society?" In sum,nx

Prof. Lettvin declared that "the slow one's motor activities and, trolled legalization was advisa-ble, he said that some sort of ill-conceived, Dr. Brenner

Dr. Brenner was asked to suggest an specificityas possible what he believed the future of the marijuana laws should be. He admitted that this was the most difficult question of the night. After pondering, he sug-gested that the first step might be the formation of a commission of distinguished experts "(men like Terry Lettvin)" who have had ex-périence with the various aspects of marijuana.

Just "not sure"

Dr. Brenner generally agreed with Prof. Lettvin that marijuana should not be freely avail-able. "It is a moderately hazardous substance. Why should we intro-duce it to society?" In summary, he said that some sort of ex-perimental legislation was advisable, but not for a specific program, he was just "not sure."