It is a sad commentary indeed that the Freshmen Council has launched the class of '71 under notably inauspicious circumstances, arousing fears that they have committed themselves to a mode of behavior completely at variance with the best interests of the class and the Institute.

The present undergraduate leadership, has worked exceptionally hard for improvements in two wide areas. For one, the problem of fraternity-dormitory relationships, long an ignored one, has been attacked with enthusiasm and intelligent discussion so that misunderstandings and animositys between the two systems may be eliminated permanently. Secondly, an honest effort was to have been made this year to make Freshmen Council a more meaningful body, more concerned with intelligent leadership and far-reaching accomplishments, rather than a temporary body which would dissolve on Day Field Day. But, if the freshmen electors choose to behave immaturely and irresponsibly, then they undermine all the efforts of the upperclassmen.

Once a class is split by a fraternal-dormitory schism, it establishes a serious handicap for that class, one which continues for four years and which will indirectly affect those not yet admitted. Unfortunately, these schisms are not stupidly perpetuated, based as they are on false premises, half-truths, and a profound lack of knowledge of how "the other half lives." Most freshmen are tied fairly closely to their living group for four years. So there is a substantial amount of mixing among the class. Freshmen Council has as its first aim the encouragement of class unity. But class unity, based not on abilities but on addresses, can only hinder this objective.

It is perfectly understandable, then, why Inscomm has raised people alarm to threats of cues and conspiracy among living group lines. Both the Dormitory Conference and the Freshman Conference have now told the freshmen representatives of their responsibilities to the entire class and to the Institute. Having been reminded, we hope the class will intelligently choose its officers when a vote is held.

Go Red Sox!

The front page of Tuesday's paper had on it a slogan supporting the victory of the St. Louis Cardinals in the 1967 World Series. I would appeal to those who come to the Manager's telephone and letters we have since received, perhaps an expository noise in point. It seems three of our board members hail from Boston, Mass., and they, with an over-abundance of enthusiasm, found upon their native sons, took it upon themselves to endorse the St. Louis team via the front page. We regret this disregard for the Red Sox, and hope that The Tech unequivocally supports the only team deserving of the World Championship, the Boston Red Sox.

Letters to the Tech

I would appeal to anyone who found upon their native sons, took it upon themselves to endorse the St. Louis team via the front page. We regret this considerable number of people, and wish to clear the record:

Miss Horvitz: The petition seems to have been confused through a number of errors. It wasn't clear whether it was retrieved at the time of the meeting or at the time of the initial meeting.

Miss Ingram: The petition seems to have been retrieved at the time of the initial meeting.

Both representatives were present at the time of the meeting. The petition was not retrieved.

I would appeal to anyone who found this spirit to be consistent with the functional excellence of the Inscomm Committee.

The Tech: There seems to be a number of misunderstandings. It wasn't clear whether it was retrieved at the time of the meeting or at the time of the initial meeting.

Miss Horvitz: The petition seems to have been retrieved at the time of the initial meeting.

Miss Ingram: The petition seems to have been retrieved at the time of the initial meeting.

Both representatives were present at the time of the meeting. The petition was not retrieved.

I would appeal to anyone who found this spirit to be consistent with the functional excellence of the Inscomm Committee.

The Tech: Why do you think it will be retrieved?

Miss Horvitz: The petition seems to have been retrieved at the time of the initial meeting.

Miss Ingram: The petition seems to have been retrieved at the time of the initial meeting.

Both representatives were present at the time of the meeting. The petition was not retrieved.

I would appeal to anyone who found this spirit to be consistent with the functional excellence of the Inscomm Committee.