Volume 88—thanks and farewell

Volume 88 of The Tech has come to an end. To reuse the words of that old saw, it seems to come too soon; perhaps to some of our readers, it seems just in time. At any rate, we tried during the past two years to put out an informative, interesting publication for the MIT community, and incidentally, we've enjoyed it immensely.

The year had its serious moments, its important announcements and philosophical discussions. But we've tried to look at most things in a good humor. Laughing at ourselves, and occasionally seemed to clear the air a bit.

Now, as all it fades into the past, we'll like to thank all the people who had the pleasure of working with. Outgoing Managing Editor Ken Browning, who was finally able to see the realization of his goal of twice-yearly publication; as well as the newspaper's installation in its new home at the Stratton Building. Dave Kress, as managing editor showed a grasp of both his technical duties and much of the enjoyable reading in the paper, as their presence in the office contributed to the enjoyment of the afternoon work.

Special thanks to Photo Editor John Torode; the darkroom boys really livened up our publication with their action shots and campus scenes.

This year we are especially grateful for the cooperation we received from the Administration: from Dean Wadleigh, Vice-President Klappert, and Public Relations head Jeff Wyile, all of whom met with us weekly; and from Bob Byers and the rest of the Public Relations staff, who were always ready with helpful information and good humor; and good wishes to Mrs. Cogswell, from Beth Bogie, our public relations Girl Friday, now in England.

The new Board will "pursue such purposes as it sees fit," as our constitution permits. Whatever higher purposes may be, we hope they have as much fun, and learn as much, as we have in our year of operation.

To the readers and people with tape-worm have the right to say "we." We'll set it to recover to the singular.

Letters to The Tech

Tuition riot

To the Editor:

On Monday night, a letter was sent from Foreham to all students about an economic tuition riot to raise tuition rise. In all, the news was accepted in a relatively mild manner, but relatively only to the newly famous "tuition riot" of four years ago. I am not writing about the rise in tuition, but the reaction of a few announcements that open themselves to demonstrate their disapproval of current 1966 management in student's home in a most objectionable manner.

I refer not to the large body that congregated there, but to those three thousand or more who snow and ice at the building to be snowed and frozen to death, but their actions accepted for purposes of revenge on the front of the house. Fortunately, but not for the lack of trying, no windows were broken. Admittedly the incident could have been considered more violent if students were not more motivated by frivolity and not by such lower motives.

In any case, whatever behavior they display, their objective is to shut off steam or genuine anger, is totally reprehensible. To convey on a man's home and pet it with snow and ice is not only contrary to any circumstances. Is it similar disrespect and irresponsibility that cause storing of American.

Controversy

To the Editor:

In your answer to Mr. David Heyman's letter of February 18, 1966, your paper, "The Tech" (Jan. 31, 1966), you have not just the sense to show that your letter is not a political statement, but not a serious discussion. Your letter would be acceptable, not only because it is, in a mass caterist, turned "reading instead the Great Court on Valentine's Day."