Reorganizations:
The first in a proposed series of reorganizations of MIT student government passed last Thursday as Inscorn accepted without dissent the change in class officer structure. The new plan calls for a president, a vice-president, and a secretary-treasurer for each of the twelve classes. The candidates for these offices would be elected recently.

Generally, there are a few things to be said for the plan, and really nothing to be said against it, allowing the class of getting its top men in office; it would eliminate the JP elections. But exactly what it will do to organizational structure is difficult to say; it is all too easy to retic—power structure remains to be seen.

This is, of course, a problem which will benefit all the changes in student government, particularly in light of the Student Center opening. It is difficult, if not impossible, to predict how the students will react to the changes in environment, or to the structural changes in campus government.

The current efforts to hit on a good overall plan which will reflect the reasoning, as well as the results, will be published, preferably before the final voting. Widespread knowledge of the issues before they come to a head will help cooperation afterwards; and every student should have a real chance to voice his opinion on what will be some very important and relevant topics.

Reorganization of the class offices seems to have been capably handled; but the situation is extremely delicate. We hope the Student Center Committee's final form will not be quite as rapidly taken care of, however. The undergraduates will certainly want more than a week's public release time to offer their suggestions.

Conference topic

Recently the Executive Committee of Inscorn appointed a planning committee to organize an intercollegiate conference in the Student Center next fall. The conference should be an admirable demonstration of the new building's capabilities, as well as being of interest to the students.

The major problem right now is to find a suitable topic. And although the final decision rests with the committee, every student should keep in mind the preliminary discussion. The conference will be successful only if it appeals to the entire campus and thus has general support and cooperation, for which the most important part of the conference is the general public and the university. The Tech is naturally interested in matters of communications and public relations, and we feel that our Letters to The Tech column is a good place to air ideas about the forthcoming conference. Any good suggestions will be printed.

Several questions might be raised. Should the topic be one which benefits the campus as a whole? Or is it possible to get a series of smaller projects which might be geared to the campus as a whole? What role will student government play in the planning? What will be the relationship of the conference to the Tech?
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