The Nobel Prize: a proud achievement

out in an interview yesterday, it is the Institute’s responsibility to society to be involved, and society can and should include students as well as faculty.

A vital part of MIT’s education is this opportunity for involvement. Any student who merely attends classes and writes a high percentage of his examinations is not utilized; but he has missed the opportunity for creative development. Unfortunately some students seem ignorant of the resources which lie within this opportunity.

As the CEP Faculty Survey indicates, and as Dr. Townes has demonstrated, there is considerable interest in the under-graduate; but first the student must show interest. It is MIT’s pride not only to have the same student interested in the pride of Dr. Townes’ achievement.

The Nobel Prize: faculty morale

The Faculty Survey of the Committee on Educational Policy released last week revealed some enlightening facts on the morale of the faculty. It reveals generally a vote of approval for the MIT en-
vironment, but there are also some significant complaints.

On the positive side, about half the faculty said they were very happy to be at MIT, and to spend the rest of their careers here: 26 per cent said they would “pretty much” like to spend one out of the engineers and one fifth of the humanitarians in other departments, but only field best in the country. Another half rated it among the very best. But among the engineering, or the student, or department best in the country (an-
other three fifths thought it among the best).

This difference in the attitude of sci-
enists also extended to their criticisms; while the engineers complained most about pressure, the scientists derided the lack of an academic atmosphere, size, and location of the Institute, and non-academic chaff. Their chief approval went to the facilities available.

It is hard to tell how much believing a department is best helps contribute to morale. Or if the best half at this point to speculate what effect the added status of a Nobel Prize winner will have on the scientists at MIT.

Doomsdayprediction

Years ago it was the tradition for the track coach, affectionately called "Oscar" in the headlines, to predict the winning class on Field Day. Also, Mr. Hedlund is no more, and his successors, being more prudent in light of the current trends, are silent. Dutifully we will leap into the breach.

Unfortunately the freshmen will win. This is not due to any sterling talent on their part; but the match has been conceded. The Thomaeones, instead of eagerly antici-
pating the fray, are drooping their heads, the ground, morbidly reflecting on the scientists at MIT. It reveals the status of a Nobel Prize winner will have the morale of the faculty. It reveals gen-
erally a vote of approval for the MIT en-
environment, but there are also some significant complaints.

Letters to the Editor

To the Editor:

Shortly after ten o’clock this morning, I was treated to a show of support from both of the candidates for the office of Secretary. It was a wild and wacky campaign. The cash factor enters too; the work idea probably minimizes the costs involved. In a sense, my candidates were “not on the rails” in any state, unlike others. After the Lodge-Hatfield, Goodwin-Russell campaign was done, it was a total surprise to see the candidate of my choice, but David Harrington, get a plurality vote. It is hard to tell how much believing a department is best helps contribute to morale. Or if the best half at this point to speculate what effect the added status of a Nobel Prize winner will have on the scientists at MIT.
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