Inside Inscomm
Inscomm Newsletter Opinion
Personal, Not Collective
By Woody Bowman

There seems to be some ques-
tion about the editorial policy of the Inscomm Newsletter. No opinion stated in the Newsletter is the collective opinion of the Institute Committee, unless explicitly stated. The opinions which have been brought forth thus far are the views of the person who signs the article.

I feel that charges of irref-
orable editorial policy are com-
pletely unfounded. The statements themselves were not irresponsible. The Managing Editor has in-
troduced me in advance of each topic. Though I have not read the statements in advance of publica-
tion, I have conferred with the author on each topic.

The only justified criticism which I can see is that of not ex-
PLICITLY stating that the views are not those of the Institute Commit-
tee, but the articles were all sign-
ed, which is a strong implication.

The Institute Committee has
no justified criticism which I can see is that of not ex-
PLICITLY stating that the views are not those of the Institute Commit-
tee, but the articles were all sign-
ed, which is a strong implication.

The Institute Committee has

Just recently the campus has
experienced another "riot" and
an injury sustained in a water
fight. In both cases the people in-
volved were intending just to have
fun, two concepts which seem to be mutually exclusive. The fact is
that when people participate in "having fun," there is a great
responsibility on each one to keep
the action from developing serious
consequences.

Fortunately the disturbance did
not become destructive, but this
is no guarantee that it will never
happen. I am not campaigning
against fun; I want people to be
aware of what they do in groups.
Everyone in a group is equally
liable for the acts of the whole.

The Newsletter is strongly sup-
ported by the Executive Commi-
nitee and we look forward to seeinz
it in expanded form.
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