Concrete Jungle

Will MIT ever have a campus? In the sense of the pastoral beauty of Wellesley, probably not. Air is ground. What is most impressive about the new construction provided in the Second Century Fund’s massive building program is the extent of the remaining grass plats and trees, even the parking lots, being eaten away.

The task of making an architectural entity of the MIT campus is now divided among three architects, one for the East, North, and West campus areas. The only hope for retaining some feeling of spaciousness in what will be a university of skyscrapers is the creation of small, well-landscaped courtyards among the concrete. It is a challenge worthy of any architect, to create in these limited surroundings some intimacy and beauty apart from the structures of stone and mortar.

MIT can help in this effort. As long-range proposals are evaluated, it is evident that more and mingling will have to be accepted. For future construction.

At the risk of an economically unsound proposal we urge that this land be purchased as soon as possible, and landscaped to provide open areas which can exist only in the presence of annual taxes, this could compensate for the erosion of the campus which remains, and possibly permit the human desire to glimpse some green

Political Activities

The letter appearing on this page was written in objection to a decidedly opiniated discussion of campus political groups and their rights to status as activities, which appeared in the “Incomm News-letter.” The writer of the letter feels that the student’s chief concern is to the reasoning of the newsletter once and to its place in a publication of this character.

Two groups are in question, the MIT organization of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP), and the MIT Civil Rights Committee (CRC). Both were granted temporary admission to the Activities Council in December 1962, and have both shown themselves to be well-organized dynamic groups, and as such a part of the community. CRC was in fact responsible for the excellent presentation of Massachusetts political candidates earlier this month.

The two groups have made the Activities Council aware of its responsibilities to political organizations. It has the power to deny or use the name of MIT and facilities exclusively to finance board. All these privileges could certainly be abused by an overzealous political group. As a privilege possibly be abused is not a real reason for not giving all.

We think that any organization which has shown merit in existing the interest of the MIT community should be granted the privilege of associating with Activities Council. We think that there should be a representative group among the MIT Connections, of the privileges granted by the Council, and that members of these groups necessarily be representatives of MIT activities.

We certainly do not wish to see the name of MIT or of its Student Body associated indiscriminately with groups of a particular political leaning. We wish to see MIT money spent to further the cause of any one political party or candidate. We do wish to encourage political interest on campus and not stifle it by a policy of indecisiveness and inaction.

Athletic Scholarship

We are pleased to note the appointment of crew coach Jack Frailey to succeed Dean Thomas Pliles as Director of Sports, Dean Mr. Pliles as Director of Athletics, a crucial figure when working a little closer to the banks of the Charles and we feel that his move marks a continued success. Are scholarships anyone? Yes.

Kibitzer

by Michael Lynch
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The Kibitzer is written by students for the students and is a forum for unimportant opinions. Each entry is the opinion of the writer and does not necessarily reflect the opinions of the publication.

Dear Editor:

I wish to comment on an article in the first issue of the INSCOM Newsletter entitled “A Favourable Resolution on Political Campaigning” which purports to give the facts concerning the position of the Civil Rights Committee and the United States Congress. The article states that “In fact, it is clear that we are committed to support, in principle, the rights of students in college groups, a specific set of national political rights.” The Civil Rights Committee is autonomous. It is not associated in any way with any outside group or has any specific national policy.

The article claims that the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) should be considered a national political organization. It suggests that the NAACP should be considered a national political organization and that a majority of MIT's, or model railroaders should be considered a national political organization.

We note that the Young Republicans Club is a national political organization, and that the Students for a Democratic Society is a national political organization. The article also contains the claim that the students for a Democratic Society is a national political organization.

We are happy to see the NAACP's position on civil liberties, but who can blame him? West's program shows that the MIT student, South, in order to ensure the survival of the student body, win the first club in his hand, and then lay down the Act of Diamonds, continuing the suit to force the King out. The win, the return, and now has the chance to play a club as an entry to the diary.

Your move. Pitting yourself with the duce. Everybody knows enough cards to know that you will be hit at a rumpush contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit. That hold up play is essentially an entry-killing play, primarily a notrump contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit. That hold up play is essentially an entry-killing play, primarily a notrump contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit. That hold up play is essentially an entry-killing play, primarily a notrump contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit. That hold up play is essentially an entry-killing play, primarily a notrump contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit. That hold up play is essentially an entry-killing play, primarily a notrump contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit.

Let's see if the point has gotten better East, holding to the one-suited diamonds. You hold the King, four of them, in the hand, your partner South has the two little ones. Declarer wins the opening lead from your partner and leads the Queen of diamonds. Declarer now plays the Jack of hearts, and now South goes down again. Since you have now locked out of dummy when East shows up with both of the missing spades honors.

Declarer of course played badly, but who can blame him? West's program shows that the MIT student, South, in order to ensure the survival of the student body, win the first club in his hand, and then lay down the Act of Diamonds, continuing the suit to force the King out. The win, the return, and now has the chance to play a club as an entry to the diary.

Your move. Pitting yourself with the duce. Everybody knows enough cards to know that you will be hit at a rumpush contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit. That hold up play is essentially an entry-killing play, primarily a notrump contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit. That hold up play is essentially an entry-killing play, primarily a notrump contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit. That hold up play is essentially an entry-killing play, primarily a notrump contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit. That hold up play is essentially an entry-killing play, primarily a notrump contract when the opponent makes a thrower. You have a good card in a suit.

Let's see if the point has gotten better East, holding to the one-suited diamonds. You hold the King, four of them, in the hand, your partner South has the two little ones. Declarer wins the opening lead from your partner and leads the Queen of diamonds. Declarer now plays the Jack of hearts, and now South goes down again. Since you have now locked out of dummy when East shows up with both of the missing spades honors.