Organisation and Procedure

Student Judicial Committees Analyzed

Judicial System Structure

The student judicial system is structured as follows:

1. **Student Judicial Committees**
   - **House Judicial Committees**
     - **Jurisdiction**: Actions of fraternities or individuals.
     - **Cases**: Considered detrimental to the good name or discipline, as described by Professor Hudgins.
   - **IFC Investigations Committee**
     - **Jurisdiction**: Cases in which no lower judicial body has original jurisdiction.
   - **NRSA Judicial Committee**
   - **Dormitory Judicial Committee**
   - **IFC Judicial Committee**
   - **Dormitory Judicial Committee**

2. **Chairmen**: Chairman and Secretary, elected by IFC.

3. **Voting Members**: Chairman and Secretary, elected by IFC.

4. **Non-voting Members**: Chairman, Secretary, and three other members, all appointed by the NRSA Executive Committee.

5. **Action**:
   - Reprimand
   - Probation
   - Suspension or expulsion of a fraternity from IFC
   - Suspension of certain privileges
   - Expulsion from the dormitory system

6. **Power**: The Student Judicial Committee has the power to:
   - Receive information
   - Conduct investigations
   - Hear and decide cases
   - Impose penalties

7. **Procedures**:
   - **Voluntary Procedure**: Automatic
   - **Formal Procedure**: Pursued when relevant

8. **Penalties**:
   - **Reprimand**: 1 to 4 cases per year
   - **Probation**: 4 cases per year
   - **Suspension or Expulsion**: 4 cases per year

9. **Records**: All files are kept confidential.

10. **Punishments**: Punishments available to the various judicial committees are:
    - Reprimand
    - Probation
    - Suspension or expulsion
    - Expulsion

Range of Penalties

- Reprimand
- Probation
- Suspension or expulsion
- Expulsion

Optimists of the deans and the faculty recognize the value of the student judicial system very widely, from one extreme to the other. Some students, however, are very often into politics and don't think the student judicial committees are a very useful form of house rules than institutional rules.

Several officials expressed an opinion that students should be made to understand that, when they in some way fail to administer the administrative work of their respective groups, they will be in a very bad position. One of the deans pointed out that the President has reversed the decision of the Dean's Office.

(To be continued on page 3)