Afterthoughts

Last week's UAP balloting, and the race which preceded it, should be considered very carefully for its significance to MIT student government. A vote of approximately 2,000, the largest ever recorded in UAP to date, points out clearly that when an issue is presented to the electorate it will take an interest, form and express opinions.

Mr. Eagle's excellent showing, coupled with the largest student opposition ever cast for Mr. Bowman and others that mit students are interested in national affairs, and that the results of this election cannot be ignored. Among other things demonstrated by the results, we observed a confirmation of a feeling of vague conservatism which seems to pervade student life. This is a somber, unique, and tragically important reaction, interesting. It certainly deserves channelling into a more viable position, one which will give it not only opportunity for development, but for clarification and expression.

We would like to congratulate Mr. Bowman and wish him the greatest success in the course he has undertaken. We know him to be dynamic and competent, and will observe with interest his handling of the position. Both Mr. Eagle and Mr. Kaufman have proven themselves to be individuals of great value to students in the governing process in the preceding campaign.

We suggest that their talents not be overlooked.
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A Third Floor?

The architect's plans for the Student Union, alias the Community Center, are currently approaching a final working stage, but are not yet, as of the form of a rigid proposal. There is still time to make extensive changes, but that time is fast running out. Those people who have been the preliminary drawings have been coming to two conclusions: that the building will outlive the original plans of a focal point around which a true community of students, faculty and staff may grow. Within the space and budget allotted to him, the architect has provided for the possibility that the building will become a reality, and begins to grow, will not the need for a community center increase?

We realize that there would be considerable addi- tions, and that the need for a third floor on the building, but we think the cost is justified. If the building is constructed according to the current plans, and they already appear cramped, how many years will it take after space proves inadequate to provide the additional space?

We predict that a two story structure will eventually be overcrowded and ill-serve its functions in a very short period of time. It would be a shame to negate all the years of labor on this building by short sightedness. A third floor should be added to the building if the money can possibly be raised.

Kibitzer

By Steve Levy '63

Last week the MIT Bridge Club played in the National Intercollegiate Par Contest. This is primarily an event in the history of the Bridge Club, not only because it was held in opposition to the bidding, but because the contract is to set up a heart to the high hand if he needs one. As you

South
North
East
West

10 Q 4 6
10 9 7 6
10 9 8 6 4
10 9 7 6 4

Bidding:

South
West
East
North

All pass P

Opening lead: King of Spades

Trick four hearts makes easily unless trumps split badly, so declarer should try to win a ruff and try to set up a heart.

On the first hand four hearts will be trumped, so declarer can try to win the trick and try to set up a heart.

The board and ruffs or discards are generally assigned to one side on each hand and the 9 8 7 4 3 is made in dummy returning a lead and returns a spade. The defense can do nothing better than to return a spade. Now South plays diamonds and ruff - one is dummy returning no spade to ruff in his hand. If West - ruffs, declarer can throw a club and lose a heart, so declarer can throw a club and lose another heart.

If South does not ruff in dummy, declarer can play a club and then ruff a heart. Now South can play a club and then ruff a heart. Then South can make a spade and a heart.

If either he will lose no trump tricks.

Assuming the contract is to set up a heart and the board and ruffs or discards, the club defense is set up and South has no tricks left. One way he can make the contract is to set up a heart

Trick for the defense before declarer establishes the diamonds: South should try to win the lead of the 6 of clubs to call for a heart.

It may not always be obvious which is the correct suit to shift to so South should do his best to win the trick for a shift to the higher ranking suit.