Senior Insurance

The Senior Class has been caught in a crossfire of conflicting claims over the insurance program. Several insurance companies offered programs to the Senior class, and one was accepted. The company that lost out over the matter created a statement setting out its benefits versus the accepted program. There is a certain amount of honest confusion among the students reporting on the matter. However, it is not just exactly what each program is about. They offer dissimilar benefits and dissimilar rates.

Many seniors also have questions about the actual need of purchasing insurance at this time. It would be helpful if some objective study of the MIT legal department would take it upon itself to delineate the whole insurance program for interested seniors.

Lecturers - 3

Ever since part of the instructor evaluation report of the Committee on Educational Policy was published on this page some three weeks ago, a controversy has been raging. The controversy has centered around charges of "immorality," "bias," and similar accusations which have been levied against the physics, electricity, and mathematics lecturers. Some students have complained that the MIT legal department was responsible for the criticism, and that the legal department had not been fair; they know that if they have been vell any instructors have been criticized, they will be written off as bearing essays to their own dishonor.

The letters which have come into this office indicate much misconception about the report. The report is, admittedly, far from what it is most people consider a complete study of the subject in general. It was distributed to the faculty members involved and to the students. Permission was given to the students to compile reports on other subjects and lecturers would be helped in the lecturer in assessing comparative reactions of students. It was the intention of the Committee to provide amusing reading material for the students. It was requested that a copy of the report, if it was given, not be printed. Names will be withheld upon request.

In some, the future, students might feel that the student body is too specific in any conclusion or such comments on the lecturers can only lead to strained relations with the individuals themselves.

Lecturers - 3

To the Editor:

I feel the criticism of Professor Mattuck's letter as being unproductive and therefore irritating to the faculty involved in the study. I am afraid I will have to send a letter also appearing on this page. Prof. Cotton, who was one of the lecturers in question, has written me a letter about his own letter, which was disrespectful. I am afraid I cannot remember it and could not get a copy of the letter.

I must protest against the attitude of some of the faculty members that they are fair; they know if they have been vell any instructors have been criticized, they will be written off as bearing essays to their own dishonor.
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