letters:
Sir: Thank you for your suggestion in Tuesday's The Tech about card-checking at the door of the Reserve Book Room. We have put a man on the job every evening and weekends who will continue until exam period is over. In the future we will watch the Reserve Book Room as we have the Science Library in the past, in order to start card-checking as soon as the beautiful invasion becomes too much of a good thing.

Sincerely,
WILLIAM L. LOCKS Director of Libraries

Our thanks to the Director of Libraries for his prompt action to rescue the situation before Reading Period and Exam Week. And our somewhat hypercritical apologies to the girls, of BU and elsewhere. See you at finals maybe?

Progress Report
To the Editor, The Tech

Sir:

I am happy to have the opportunity to give an update report concerning the activities of the Honor System Subcommittee for all purposes, for although we are unable to give Incomco until the fall, the following may provide a basis for consideration of this topic over the summer. As far as our activities are concerned, they have recently been of the information-gathering type. The committee has talked with many students and faculty members in an effort to define the particular aspects of the honor system.

In brief outline, our findings thus far have amounted to the following:

1. The main purpose of an Honor System would not be to reduce the amount of cheating that goes on, to reduce the man-hours necessary for proctoring exams, or to gain publicity for the Institute. Rather, it would appear that it might:
   a. Instill a set of professional ethics and an intellectual integrity in the graduates.
   b. Bring to the attention of the students some of the moral and ethical questions which they face, consciously or unconsciously, every day.
   c. Generate something of an esprit de corps in the MIT undergraduates.

Given the suggestion of an honor system, several questions arise:

1. Are the above aims sufficient to warrant such a system?
2. Does the MIT community want such a system?
3. What kind of a system should it be? Who should be served by making the paper less a platform for the opinions of one group, and more a forum for discussion and debate.
4. What should be the penalties involved?
5. Could there be appeals? To whom?

In conclusion as a whole?
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