The Tech

We can do nothing but view the inratable tuition price with a far from complacent eye. There is no question about the rising costs of education; we must assume that the Corporation has considered methods other than tuition for raising the money that it needs. There is, however, no way to be answered well by a student-faculty-administration group or a student group.

There is, however, an aspect of the problem which we believe could be answered well by a student-faculty-administration group. We feel that one aspect of the meeting between administration group and student group has not been worked out, or to wait for long discussions to take place. The problem is the rising cost of education to the student that there be a meeting be

The installment plan for gradual tuition payment that is accompanying the announcement of tuition increase is a step forward in the realm of economics as well as education. For some time the Committee on the Rising Cost of Education to the Student (a committee of the Institute Committee) has been concerned with the needs of the high school senior who looks toward MIT education but who fails to apply because he finds the high tuition an insurmountable barrier. Even the Institute needs money and must get it from students; we believe that student government could function effectively to answer the above questions. All of them directly concern students in their role as students; all could be presented in problem form, and, we believe, could be answered well by a student-faculty-administration group or a student group.

While we applaud the loan program to go into effect next fall, we feel that the whole situation — outside of purely financial aspects — is not nearly as liberal as are the Technology Loan terms. We believe that the administration failed to make it clear as to whether or not advancement is accelerated by the use of student consideration. It involves an area which students should be made aware of before they enter, and one which the Corporation has considered methods other than tuition raise itself was stated as being inevitable, but that course of action which was firmly declared to be "not sub-

Perhaps Institute Committee's constant lack of important functions is not due entirely to its own membership; sometimes it is because they felt that the alternative or factual importance is not enough to be done beyond consideration. This is one of those times. We hope it is not indicative of a prevailing mood. If it is, there is too much of too many students' time being wasted.
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As one of the co-chairs of a student committee which is studying the effects of the current high tuition trend at MIT, I feel that one aspect of the committee's report is the question of the rising costs of education to the student. It is a question that has been frequently asked, and it is a question that has been worked out, or to wait for long discussions to take place.
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