EDITORIALS

blind man’s bluff

Half a loaf is sometimes worse than none. Half-hearted national student interest in the ambitiously named and ambi-

tiously inclined National Student Association may be con-

ducive to the presentation of a misleading appearance abroad.

As reported by the local NSA coordinator—one of several

Institute delegates to the annual summer conference of the

Association—legislation passed by the group is con-

sidered a sampling of the American student opinion abroad.

Four days of discussions led to the formulation and drafting

of these opinions which may have some significance abroad

but which may also be hopelessly, totally naive here.

The point is not that earnest and interested student participa-

tion here or anywhere else is desirable—or even conces-

sible—but that legislation, policy passed by the Associa-

tion represents absurdity which is it not—some-

thing which does not significantly exist—the opinion of

the American student.

In Europe and Latin America, particularly, the student group is so an important factor in politics. Enthusiastic and

involved, they represent a liberal bloc and one to be re-

molded with.

In the United States, student political participation

is a casual thing—trivial in comparison with that abroad.

As far as an exactitude of egoism to any degree, existence of a bloc from any standpoint is there is no such

thing. The presentation of a picture which whether inten-

tionally or not represents the opinion of the American

student is misleading and in its error potentially dan-

gerous.
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