A. A. Answers Questions About Athletic Managers

by Jerry Maxwell '57

A letter from Ashton Steeke, '55, has brought a new spot in our athletic system to our attention and we, in turn, would like to present it to you. It concerns the situation regarding the managerial system 1, which a student becomes a manager.

Since we became interested in the athletic situation at the Institute we have heard repeated remarks about the fact that most of the managerial positions here are held by the members of one or two fraternities. Is this true? And if so, is there any way we can prevent it? What is the purpose of the managerial system? And is it worth implementing? If you could answer all these questions, we would be very grateful.

Steeke responds:

The managerial system was set up in order to provide a leadership role for students who are interested in athletics. It is originally designed to be a supportive role for the coaches, but in recent years, it has become more of an administrative role. The primary duties of the manager include budgeting, scheduling, and general administrative tasks.

The managerial system is run by the Executive Committee of the Athletic Association, which makes the final decisions on the management of the system.

The question is why is it that some fraternities have more managers than others? This is because the fraternities are responsible for providing the necessary funding and support for the managers. As a result, some fraternities are able to provide more support than others, leading to a higher number of managers.

The managerial system is important because it helps to ensure that there is proper oversight and accountability in the athletic department. It also provides an opportunity for students to gain valuable leadership experience.

A.A. would like to see a more even distribution of managers across different fraternities, as this would help to ensure a fairer representation of all students in the athletic department.