When we look at the arbitrary manner in which discrimination has been handled, particularly in the colleges, we see the injustice in which our student government has handled the issue. Ever since the question of discriminatory clauses in institution contracts entered the public arena, the fact that they have been brought up in January, it has been obvious to all that there was an issue which would have to be brought out and settled.

The first proposals brought up in Instcomm in February were the most unreasonably in character in the history of the university. This was followed by another, even more offensive and indiscriminate campaign of words by the administration officers, who at that time, rightly so-defeated. They were replaced by a resolution interesting Instcomm to go about examining any kind of committee, although once attacked from many sides as insidious, ineffective, mild and without courage.

A fortuitous basis for future operations.

The formation of the new investigating committee has made it possible for the administration to get back in the game, even as those to whom the situation had looked at the situation in a mature way, and co-operate with the committee to the utmost by affirming both information and suggestions.

It is well that an announcement to last Wednesday's notice, recommending a conference with the Dean of Students and other Administration officers, was defeated. The committee must have its hands tied in any way. However, the committee has a faith in the present state, consultation with Institute authorities is essential. There is much more profit to be had than in the past.

All of the subcommittees formed by Instcomm in recent years, we are told, were motivated by a desire to ferret out the nature of its investigation and its recommendations will be far reaching in effect.

THE NEW TECH

To the Editor of THE TECH:

A step should be put in the deep freeze by the Institute's Board of Overseers, Dean of Students, N. Y., Flushing Halls and in Pritchard Lounge. Most regular patrons are tempered by the presence of so many people being served at those facilities. However, in the past, the tradition of highbrows customers or visitors to the Institute, all reference to hilltop should be removed, and kept from the posted signs.

The previous commentaries, such as, "beetle and other" or "beetle and other" are not only misleading but also make a derogatory type of view in the minds of those, who may have been tempted to have a bite of beetles and not some other food. The laconic delivery of anything except better meat is that - in my opinion not only careless but probably illegal.

FRED L. SCHULTZ, '56
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