AN IMPENDING WRONG

The proposed cut in the appropriation to the N. Y. A. by the national Congress is a threat from all angles.

Payment of part of their expenses by work through the N. Y. A. makes possible the important extension of scores of women and numerous American colleges, including Technology. In considering slashing the amount of money to be available for these students Congress will be considering whether to cut students' future development from vital trained outlets. Maybe we're getting too many intelligent people, to make the legitimate question of the desirability of good investment; even the mere mention of Congress' part seems stupid and shortsighted.

Not only will continued N. Y. A. funds maintain the opportunity for worthy students to gain. Their usefulness, their skillfulness will take out of the employment market hundreds of young Americans who would otherwise be competing for jobs.

The elimination of cut goes through, moreover, the men now holding non-N. Y. A. jobs (and thus is particularly true in the case of universities other than Technology) will have to share them with the unemployed, ex-N. Y. A. students.

But there is a much better way to cut. The Tech staff would come from the admissions' board of trustees who would have to juggle its budget to provide more help for deserving students.

There is yet another argument against cutting N. Y. A. appropriations. A busy week can help in the inculcation of our students' devotion to the public service.

Aristocratic and totalitarian states can offer no comparable opportunity.

These words, spoken last month by Dr. Keppel, have a startling ring. TheTech is far from new. Dr. Keppel's statement is far from new. Dr. Keppel's statement, that the Tech is and not thinl... classroom life is being noticed, that the fees for the production of war are more probable than Technology will have to share them with the unemployed, ex-N. Y. A. students. But...