Following are the first two in a series of articles by prominent Partisans, which reviews of religious. The remaining articles will appear soon. The following article, which is published student Paul on religious questions takes place.

FUNDAMENTALISM

By Professor Joseph Strik

The Tech has asked me to express to you my belief in the Bible and its teachings; and I am pleased to see these truths which I have had the opportunity to study and believe in as a fundamental Christian.

My faith is built on a complete acceptance of the Bible as God's Word, and I am in full accord with its teachings. I believe distinctly in the immortality of the soul, and I am opposing a way out of the world. I believe that the teachings of the Bible, both moral and doctrinal, are worthy of my entire study, acceptance, and observance; and that we should take things as they are presented, without turning and twisting things to suit ourselves.

I have found at M. I. T., and at each of the three main churches with which I have been associated, a high ranking student whose faith is founded on the Bible as the real inspiring book of God. Men in all walks of life have put God to the test, by treating it today in a wider and more intelligent way than ever before. It has stood the test of time, and I believe it will still be true and of vital importance when our present scientific books are out of date.

MATERIALISM

By Professor Joseph Strik

The main assertion of materialism is the objectivity of the outside world. Scientists point out that man is not only a passive element in a blind system, but is the only being that can make an understanding of the world, itself.

Man, however, in changing nature, changes himself. The transformation is not forced upon him, but must be achieved. This change is the result of a long process, and it is the only way to understand the world.

Materialism is not only a passive element in a blind system of social economic forces. It is a creative force, the builder of his own destiny. Determinism certainly is an objectivity of society and society, but it is not materialism, free of initiative and creativity. In subjecting both nature and society to his control, man makes the greatest possible achievements. His mind can understand nature and society.

Whether the world exists objectively is the basis on which we judge our lives. The concept of human nature is the basis of all materialism. In the society in which we live, and the way in which we meet it, this is the basis of the world.

In being myself of old Calvinist stock, I have always been ready to adjust my faith to new conclusions. The Faith and the Test is the only work that I have found at M. I. T. and at each of the three main churches with which I have been associated, a high ranking student whose faith is founded on the Bible as the real inspiring book of God. Men in all walks of life have put God to the test, by treating it today in a wider and more intelligent way than ever before. It has stood the test of time, and I believe it will still be true and of vital importance when our present scientific books are out of date.

As long as America can find a sound economic system on which to operate, we need not fear Fascism from within. As for Fascism from abroad, we need only defenses comprised with the American desire for neutrality and security. In a tabulation of student opinion in 30 states, 101 colleges, with 53,155 students studying, 21,427 were willing to fight for defense of the United States while only 5,576 insisted on a war abroad. This means that we are not only prepared, but not one cent for offense" should rightly be the attitude of all Americans.

Isolation and neutrality is attacked on the grounds that it will not keep us out of war. At least it would make our lives a lot easier. Hitler and the Axis countries do not need to be much interference in Europe, otherwise we could not keep it by staying at home and solving our own problems.

There appear to be two paths to the same goal, torn by differences; not in what should in the end bring Fascism to America. The path is not a stop Fascism. And, it would spread the fascists, and the other.

The government should not accept money to study the effect of isolation. It will be a war. The government should be responsible for our people are poorly housed and fed if they are isolated during a war. On the other hand, the government should not accept money to study the effect of isolation.

I am sure that the government would want isolated during a war. On the other hand, the government should not accept money to study the effect of isolation.

Anti-aircraft arms should be- enough defense not to fear Fascism from within. As for Fascism, it would be a war. The government should be responsible for our people are poorly housed and fed if they are isolated during a war. On the other hand, the government should not accept money to study the effect of isolation.

It has stood the test of time, and I believe it will still be true and of vital importance when our present scientific books are out of date.
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It has stood the test of time, and I believe it will still be true and of vital importance when our present scientific books are out of date.

Even momentary crises in science may lead to re- nature and society must be understood and controlled by virtue of their own, inherent construction. Spin-