It is reasonable to suppose that this negative response indicates an apathy in regard to religious matters on the part of the Technology student, coupled with a distaste for "preaching" and for the Sunday night church social. But we cannot overlook the possibility that lack of interest in the Embassy may also be an active disapproval of the tenets of organized religion.

Although our churches are rich to admit the fact, religion seems to be waging a losing battle against scepticism aroused by scientific progress, and against a lack of respect for religious teachings manifested by changing standards of "good" and "evil." Secular workers should thus be very much interested in the results of THE TECH polls on April 15.

Knowledge of the scientist's attitude is necessary, if religious ideas are to be adapted to a changing world, in order that the onslaught of scepticism, destroying fundamental concepts of dogma, may not sweep away with it the moral standards on which civilization is based.

---

**Letters to the Editor**

R. O. T. C. Champion

To The Editor:

In a letter in your column on March 29 two students asserted that the recent peace poll conducted by THE TECH was not enough because of the small number of votes cast. In particular, the writers claimed that the vote did not prove that there exists in the Institute an overwhelming sentiment against compulsory military instruction.

Believing that there is a great interest in the question of compulsory versus voluntary military training the American Student Union decided to hold a debate and open forum discussion on this subject. We planned the discussion for Wednesday, April 6, 1938 and attempted to secure faculty members to speak in favor of the respective opinions. Professor William Greene agreed to speak in favor of voluntary drill, but we have been unable to obtain a man to defend compulsory drill.

Because many non-military Professors as well as many Tech students feel that lack of interest in the Embassy seems to be waging a losing battle against "preaching" and against a lack of respect for organized religion.

---

**TIME OUT FOR THOUGHT**

Last evening the Tech Open Forum held a meeting to consider the question, "Should the number of classes that are limited to Technical subjects at the Institute be decreased?" Much time was wasted with a number of silly and outlandish speeches in defense of science and engineering while the problem that probably suggested the discussion was scarcely mentioned.

In order to hold the usefulness of the Institute, it is necessary that at least the present number of technical subjects be available and drilled into the students who come here to learn a vocation. For that reason our present system has shown that it operates very nicely, but there is something more than a meal ticket that should be served at Tech. A large number of great men have graduated from the Institute, but we cannot point to the subjects they studied as ones creating genius or culture. Most of their development to the subjects they studied as ones creating.

---

**BEAVER KEY**

This year, owing to lateness in adopting the program, teams will be organized only in the spring sports, namely, track and softball. Next year the program will be launched early so that all sports may be represented in the course of the year. An appropriations ballot will be directed by the Advisory Council of the M. T. A. All Tech Tournaments.

In touch football, softball, squash, and volleyball, an ordinary elimination tournament will be run up to the semifinals, the four semifinalists teams to play a sudden round. In tennis a singles and doubles elimination tournament will be run in which each group play until one undisputed team or unable singles players, on man be allowed to compete in both tournaments. In track there will be eight events. No man may compete in more than two events and the relay.

Every key experts that April 15 the finals and tournament will be under way and that plans will be well compared for the track meet. General eligibility rules for the tournament are that any man could enter in a sport if he has never worn a letter in that sport in any season, and if he has not played a valedictorian in that sport season.